

**UNITED STATES
SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
WASHINGTON, DC 20549**

**FORM 10-Q/A
Amendment No. 1**

(Mark One)

QUARTERLY REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the quarterly period ended **September 30, 2013** or

TRANSITION REPORT PURSUANT TO SECTION 13 OR 15(d) OF THE SECURITIES EXCHANGE ACT OF 1934

For the transition period from to

Commission file number **1-12289**

SEACOR Holdings Inc.

(Exact Name of Registrant as Specified in Its Charter)

Delaware

(State or Other Jurisdiction of
Incorporation or Organization)

13-3542736

(IRS Employer
Identification No.)

2200 Eller Drive, P.O. Box 13038,

Fort Lauderdale, Florida

(Address of Principal Executive Offices)

33316

(Zip Code)

954-523-2200

(Registrant's Telephone Number, Including Area Code)

Not Applicable

(Former Name, Former Address and Former Fiscal Year, if Changed Since Last Report)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant: (1) has filed all reports required to be filed by Section 13 or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to file such reports), and (2) has been subject to such filing requirements for the past 90 days. Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant has submitted electronically and posted on its corporate Web site, if any, every Interactive Data File required to be submitted and posted pursuant to Rule 405 of Regulation S-T (§232.405 of this chapter) during the preceding 12 months (or for such shorter period that the registrant was required to submit and post such files). Yes No

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a large accelerated filer, an accelerated filer, a non-accelerated filer, or a smaller reporting company. See definitions of "large accelerated filer," "accelerated filer," and "smaller reporting company" in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act. (Check one):

Large accelerated filer

Accelerated filer

Non-accelerated filer
(Do not check if a smaller
reporting company)

Smaller reporting
company

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant is a shell company (as defined in Rule 12b-2 of the Exchange Act). Yes No

The total number of shares of common stock, par value \$.01 per share, outstanding as of October 25, 2013 was 20,331,865. The Registrant has no other class of common stock outstanding.

EXPLANATORY NOTE

SEACOR Holdings Inc. is filing this Amendment No. 1 to its Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 ("Amendment No. 1"), originally filed with the Securities and Exchange Commission ("SEC") on October 28, 2013 (the "Original Filing"), to restate its unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements included in the Original Filing and reflect related matters involving the Company's disclosure controls and procedures and internal control over financial reporting.

In late February 2014, in connection with the Company's year-end accounting review and preparation of its year-end financial statements, an error was discovered in the accounting for taxes related to the spin-off of Era Group, Inc., which occurred on January 31, 2013. The restatement of the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in this Amendment No. 1 to the Original Filing is solely related to an error in accounting for taxes, the principal effect of which is an increase in the net loss from discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$10.1 million.

For the convenience of the reader, this Amendment No. 1 sets forth the Original Filing in its entirety, as modified and superseded where necessary to reflect the restatement. The following items have been amended as a result of, and to reflect, the restatement:

- Part I — Item 1. Financial Statements;
- Part I — Item 2. Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations;
- Part I — Item 4. Controls and Procedures;
- Part II — Item 1A. Risk Factors; and
- Part II — Item 6. Exhibits.

In accordance with applicable SEC rules, this Amendment No. 1 to the Original Filing includes certifications from the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer dated as of the date of this filing.

Except as set forth above, the Original Filing has not been amended, updated or otherwise modified, and does not reflect events occurring after October 28, 2013, the date of the Original Filing, or modify or update those disclosures that may have been affected by subsequent events.

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.

Table of Contents

Part I.	Financial Information	1
Item 1.	Financial Statements (Unaudited)	1
	Condensed Consolidated Balance Sheets as of September 30, 2013 and December 31, 2012	1
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	2
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Comprehensive Income for the Three and Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	3
	Condensed Consolidated Statement of Changes in Equity for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013	5
	Condensed Consolidated Statements of Cash Flows for the Nine Months Ended September 30, 2013 and 2012	7
	Notes to Condensed Consolidated Financial Statements	8
Item 2.	Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations	27
Item 3.	Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk	47
Item 4.	Controls and Procedures	47

Part II.	Other Information	49
Item 1.	Legal Proceedings	49
Item 1A.	Risk Factors	49
Item 2.	Unregistered Sales of Equity Securities and Use of Proceeds	49
Item 4.	Mine Safety Disclosures	49
Item 6.	Exhibits	50

PART I—FINANCIAL INFORMATION

ITEM 1. FINANCIAL STATEMENTS

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED BALANCE SHEETS
(in thousands, except share data, unaudited)

	September 30, 2013	December 31, 2012
	(As Restated)	
ASSETS		
Current Assets:		
Cash and cash equivalents	\$ 332,767	\$ 248,204
Restricted cash	20,893	28,285
Marketable securities	25,660	21,668
Receivables:		
Trade, net of allowance for doubtful accounts of \$920 and \$1,201 in 2013 and 2012, respectively	211,853	224,944
Other	39,774	45,334
Inventories	25,442	25,787
Deferred income taxes	3,530	3,530
Prepaid expenses and other	10,746	12,719
Discontinued operations	—	108,153
Total current assets	<u>670,665</u>	<u>718,624</u>
Property and Equipment:		
Historical cost	2,208,315	2,238,383
Accumulated depreciation	<u>(835,604)</u>	<u>(763,803)</u>
	1,372,711	1,474,580
Construction in progress	<u>129,481</u>	<u>110,296</u>
Net property and equipment	<u>1,502,192</u>	<u>1,584,876</u>
Investments, at Equity, and Advances to 50% or Less Owned Companies	365,891	272,535
Construction Reserve Funds & Title XI Reserve Funds	229,021	195,629
Goodwill	17,978	17,978
Intangible Assets, Net	13,583	15,305
Other Assets	52,394	55,123
Discontinued Operations	—	840,724
	<u>\$ 2,851,724</u>	<u>\$ 3,700,794</u>
LIABILITIES AND EQUITY		
Current Liabilities:		
Current portion of long-term debt	\$ 26,973	\$ 21,920
Current portion of capital lease obligations	11	2,900
Accounts payable and accrued expenses	73,063	107,892
Other current liabilities	124,777	93,093
Discontinued operations	—	39,836
Total current liabilities	<u>224,824</u>	<u>265,641</u>
Long-Term Debt	675,206	655,309
Capital Lease Obligations	22	59
Deferred Income Taxes	437,436	426,027
Deferred Gains and Other Liabilities	133,503	120,342
Discontinued Operations	—	490,741
Total liabilities	<u>1,470,991</u>	<u>1,958,119</u>
Equity:		
SEACOR Holdings Inc. stockholders' equity:		
Preferred stock, \$.01 par value, 10,000,000 shares authorized; none issued nor outstanding	—	—
Common stock, \$.01 par value, 60,000,000 shares authorized; 37,168,978 and 36,740,324 shares issued in 2013 and 2012, respectively	372	367
Additional paid-in capital	1,358,273	1,330,324
Retained earnings	1,086,874	1,473,509
Shares held in treasury of 16,837,113 and 16,852,391 in 2013 and 2012, respectively, at cost	(1,088,219)	(1,088,560)
Accumulated other comprehensive loss, net of tax	<u>(1,809)</u>	<u>(1,986)</u>
	1,355,491	1,713,654
Noncontrolling interests in subsidiaries	<u>25,242</u>	<u>29,021</u>
Total equity	<u>1,380,733</u>	<u>1,742,675</u>

<u>\$ 2,851,724</u>	<u>\$ 3,700,794</u>
---------------------	---------------------

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements
and should be read in conjunction herewith.

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF INCOME
(in thousands, except share data, unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(As Restated)			
Operating Revenues	\$ 336,784	\$ 338,855	\$ 919,411	\$ 945,929
Costs and Expenses:				
Operating	239,540	254,005	680,566	706,969
Administrative and general	31,463	39,509	101,826	110,801
Depreciation and amortization	33,503	34,347	100,834	97,269
	304,506	327,861	883,226	915,039
Gains on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, Net	19,230	9,064	33,550	16,183
Operating Income	51,508	20,058	69,735	47,073
Other Income (Expense):				
Interest income	4,280	3,890	10,665	13,925
Interest expense	(10,520)	(10,076)	(31,282)	(30,075)
Debt extinguishment losses, net	—	—	—	(160)
Marketable security gains (losses), net	(1,149)	(1,730)	9,403	13,224
Derivative losses, net	(303)	(2,030)	(3,235)	(2,434)
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	2,230	1,028	(2,697)	1,665
Other, net	477	7,098	675	7,457
	(4,985)	(1,820)	(16,471)	3,602
Income from Continuing Operations Before Income Tax Expense and Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies	46,523	18,238	53,264	50,675
Income Tax Expense	15,984	7,702	21,306	20,412
Income from Continuing Operations Before Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies	30,539	10,536	31,958	30,263
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	230	(1,297)	7,071	6,659
Income from Continuing Operations	30,769	9,239	39,029	36,922
Income (Loss) from Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax	—	6,265	(10,325)	26,254
Net Income	30,769	15,504	28,704	63,176
Net Income (Loss) attributable to Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries	478	(598)	130	(663)
Net Income attributable to SEACOR Holdings Inc.	\$ 30,291	\$ 16,102	\$ 28,574	\$ 63,839
Net Income (Loss) attributable to SEACOR Holdings Inc.:				
Continuing operations	\$ 30,291	\$ 9,837	\$ 38,799	\$ 37,585
Discontinued operations	—	6,265	(10,225)	26,254
	\$ 30,291	\$ 16,102	\$ 28,574	\$ 63,839
Basic Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share of SEACOR Holdings Inc.:				
Continuing operations	\$ 1.52	\$ 0.48	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.83
Discontinued operations	—	0.31	(0.52)	1.28
	\$ 1.52	\$ 0.79	\$ 1.44	\$ 3.11
Diluted Earnings (Loss) Per Common Share of SEACOR Holdings Inc.:				
Continuing operations	\$ 1.36	\$ 0.47	\$ 1.92	\$ 1.80
Discontinued operations	—	0.31	(0.51)	1.26
	\$ 1.36	\$ 0.78	\$ 1.41	\$ 3.06
Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding:				
Basic	19,964,695	20,432,997	19,843,778	20,512,118
Diluted	24,601,584	20,740,456	20,198,449	20,838,468

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF COMPREHENSIVE INCOME
(in thousands, unaudited)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	(As Restated)			
Net Income	\$ 30,769	\$ 15,504	\$ 28,704	\$ 63,176
Other Comprehensive Income (Loss):				
Foreign currency translation gains (losses)	4,050	2,123	(488)	3,908
Reclassification of foreign currency translation losses to foreign currency gains (losses), net	—	—	—	758
Derivative gains (losses) on cash flow hedges	192	(619)	572	(1,290)
Reclassification of derivative losses on cash flow hedges to interest expense	—	655	—	1,951
Reclassification of derivative (gains) losses on cash flow hedges to equity in earnings (losses) of 50% or less owned companies	(65)	167	253	386
Reclassification of derivative losses on cash flow hedges to derivative losses, net upon dedesignation	—	1,330	—	1,330
Other	—	—	—	42
	4,177	3,656	337	7,085
Income tax expense	(1,311)	(1,200)	(125)	(2,345)
	2,866	2,456	212	4,740
Comprehensive Income	33,635	17,960	28,916	67,916
Comprehensive Income (Loss) attributable to Noncontrolling Interests in Subsidiaries	910	(369)	110	(277)
Comprehensive Income attributable to SEACOR Holdings Inc.	\$ 32,725	\$ 18,329	\$ 28,806	\$ 68,193

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements

and should be read in conjunction herewith.

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENT OF CHANGES IN EQUITY
(in thousands, unaudited)

	SEACOR Holdings Inc. Stockholders' Equity						
	Common Stock	Additional Paid-In Capital	Retained Earnings	Shares Held In Treasury	Accumulated Other Comprehensive Loss	Non- Controlling Interests In Subsidiaries	Total Equity
	(As Restated)						(As Restated)
December 31, 2012	\$ 367	\$1,330,324	\$ 1,473,509	\$(1,088,560)	\$ (1,986)	\$ 29,021	\$ 1,742,675
Issuance of common stock:							
Employee Stock Purchase Plan	—	—	—	1,770	—	—	1,770
Exercise of stock options	3	15,446	—	—	—	—	15,449
Director stock awards	—	168	—	—	—	—	168
Restricted stock and restricted stock units	2	(24)	—	135	—	—	113
Distribution of Era Group stock to shareholders	—	—	(415,209)	—	(55)	(107)	(415,371)
Share award settlements for Era Group employees and directors	—	(631)	—	—	—	—	(631)
Amortization of share awards	—	11,426	—	—	—	—	11,426
Cancellation of restricted stock	—	1,564	—	(1,564)	—	—	—
Issuance of noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	40	40
Distributions to noncontrolling interests	—	—	—	—	—	(3,822)	(3,822)
Net income	—	—	28,574	—	—	130	28,704
Other comprehensive income (loss)	—	—	—	—	232	(20)	212
Nine months ended September 30, 2013	<u>\$ 372</u>	<u>\$1,358,273</u>	<u>\$ 1,086,874</u>	<u>\$(1,088,219)</u>	<u>\$ (1,809)</u>	<u>\$ 25,242</u>	<u>\$ 1,380,733</u>

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.
CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED STATEMENTS OF CASH FLOWS
(in thousands, unaudited)

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
Net Cash Provided by Operating Activities of Continuing Operations	\$ 134,698	\$ 98,633
Cash Flows from Investing Activities of Continuing Operations:		
Purchases of property and equipment	(146,483)	(165,044)
Proceeds from disposition of property and equipment	205,735	14,715
Investments in and advances to 50% or less owned companies	(91,492)	(34,507)
Return of investments and advances from 50% or less owned companies	10,642	77,981
Net advances on revolving credit line to 50% or less owned companies	—	(300)
(Advances) principal payments on third party notes receivable, net	(63)	17,751
Net (increase) decrease in restricted cash	7,392	(170,501)
Net (increase) decrease in construction reserve funds and Title XI reserve funds	(33,392)	80,042
Payments received on third party leases, net	2,667	2,586
Business acquisitions, net of cash acquired	(10,540)	(148,088)
Net cash used in investing activities of continuing operations	(55,534)	(325,365)
Cash Flows from Financing Activities of Continuing Operations:		
Payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations	(13,129)	(67,178)
Net borrowings (repayments) under inventory financing arrangements	4,183	(13,368)
Proceeds from issuance of long-term debt	10	115,134
Common stock acquired for treasury	—	(28,726)
Share award settlements for Era Group employees and directors	(357)	—
Proceeds and tax benefits from share award plans	17,195	8,400
Distributions paid to noncontrolling interests, net of issuances	(3,782)	(1,895)
Net cash provided by financing activities of continuing operations	4,120	12,367
Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(643)	1,944
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents from Continuing Operations	82,641	(212,421)
Cash Flows from Discontinued Operations:		
Operating Activities	24,298	99,629
Investing Activities	(8,502)	(7,219)
Financing Activities	(14,017)	(64,090)
Effects of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	143	687
Net Increase in Cash and Cash Equivalents from Discontinued Operations	1,922	29,007
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	84,563	(183,414)
Cash and Cash Equivalents, Beginning of Period	248,204	381,482
Cash and Cash Equivalents, End of Period	\$ 332,767	\$ 198,068

The accompanying notes are an integral part of these condensed consolidated financial statements and should be read in conjunction herewith.

SEACOR HOLDINGS INC.
NOTES TO CONDENSED CONSOLIDATED FINANCIAL STATEMENTS
(unaudited)

1. BASIS OF PRESENTATION AND ACCOUNTING POLICY

The condensed consolidated financial information for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012 has been prepared by the Company and has not been audited by its independent registered public accounting firm. The condensed consolidated financial statements include the accounts of SEACOR Holdings Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries. In the opinion of management, all adjustments (consisting of normal recurring adjustments) have been made to fairly present the Company's financial position as of September 30, 2013, its results of operations for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, its comprehensive income for the three and nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, its changes in equity for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, and its cash flows for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012. Results of operations for the interim periods presented are not necessarily indicative of operating results for the full year or any future periods.

Certain information and footnote disclosures normally included in financial statements prepared in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles in the United States have been condensed or omitted. These condensed consolidated financial statements should be read in conjunction with the financial statements and related notes thereto included in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

Unless the context otherwise indicates, any reference in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to the "Company" refers to SEACOR Holdings Inc. and its consolidated subsidiaries and any reference in this Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q to "SEACOR" refers to SEACOR Holdings Inc.

Discontinued Operations. On January 31, 2013, the Company completed the spin-off ("Spin-off") of Era Group Inc. ("Era Group"), the company that operated SEACOR's Aviation Services business segment, by means of a dividend to SEACOR's stockholders of all the issued and outstanding common stock of Era Group. Era Group filed a Registration Statement on Form 10 with the Securities and Exchange Commission, describing the Spin-off, that was declared effective on January 14, 2013. Era Group is now an independent company whose common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "ERA." Discontinued operations includes the historical financial position, results of operations and cash flows of Era Group as well as the operations previously reported as discontinued in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012 (see Note 14).

Revenue Recognition. The Company recognizes revenue when it is realized or realizable and earned. Revenue is realized or realizable and earned when persuasive evidence of an arrangement exists, delivery has occurred or services have been rendered, the price to the buyer is fixed or determinable, and collectability is reasonably assured. Revenue that does not meet these criteria is deferred until the criteria are met. Deferred revenues, included in other current liabilities, for the nine months ended September 30 were as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 6,592	\$ 9,845
Revenues deferred during the period	—	2,827
Revenues recognized during the period	—	(7,007)
Balance at end of period	\$ 6,592	\$ 5,665

As of September 30, 2013, deferred revenues of \$6.6 million were related to the time charter of several offshore support vessels scheduled to be paid through the conveyance of an overriding royalty interest (the "Conveyance") in developmental oil and gas producing properties operated by a customer in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. Payments under the Conveyance, and the timing of such payments, are contingent upon production and energy sale prices. On August 17, 2012, the customer filed a voluntary petition for Chapter 11 bankruptcy. The Company is vigorously defending its interest in connection with the bankruptcy filing; however, payments received under the Conveyance subsequent to August 17, 2012 are subject to bankruptcy court approval. The Company will continue to recognize revenues as cash is received and approved by the bankruptcy court or earlier should future collection become reasonably assured. All costs and expenses related to these charters were recognized as incurred.

Accumulated Other Comprehensive Income (Loss). The components of accumulated other comprehensive income (loss) were as follows:

	SEACOR Holdings Inc. Stockholders' Equity				Noncontrolling Interests		Other Comprehensive Income (Loss)
	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Derivative Losses on Cash Flow Hedges, net	Other	Total	Foreign Currency Translation Adjustments	Other	
December 31, 2012	\$ (1,238)	\$ (732)	\$ (16)	\$ (1,986)	\$ 321	\$ (10)	
Distribution of Era Group stock to shareholders	(55)	—	—	(55)	—	—	
Other comprehensive income (loss)	(468)	825	—	357	(20)	—	\$ 337
Income tax (expense) benefit	164	(289)	—	(125)	—	—	(125)
Nine months ended September 30, 2013	\$ (1,597)	\$ (196)	\$ (16)	\$ (1,809)	\$ 301	\$ (10)	\$ 212

Reclassifications. Certain reclassifications of prior period information have been made to conform to the presentation of the current period information. These reclassifications had no effect on net income as previously reported.

Restatement of Interim Financial Results. In late February 2014, in connection with the Company's year-end accounting review and preparation of its year-end financial statements, an error was discovered in the accounting for taxes related to the Spin-off of Era Group. The restatement of the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in this Amendment No. 1 to the Original Filing is solely related to this error in accounting for taxes, the principal effect of which is an increase in the net loss from discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$10.1 million (see Note 15).

2. FAIR VALUE MEASUREMENTS

The fair value of an asset or liability is the price that would be received to sell an asset or transfer a liability (an exit price) in the principal or most advantageous market for the asset or liability in an orderly transaction between market participants on the measurement date. The Company utilizes a fair value hierarchy that maximizes the use of observable inputs and minimizes the use of unobservable inputs when measuring fair value and defines three levels of inputs that may be used to measure fair value. *Level 1* inputs are quoted prices in active markets for identical assets or liabilities. *Level 2* inputs are observable inputs other than quoted prices included in *Level 1* that are observable for the asset or liability, either directly or indirectly, including quoted prices for similar assets or liabilities in active markets, quoted prices in markets that are not active, inputs other than quoted prices that are observable for the asset or liability, or inputs derived from observable market data. *Level 3* inputs are unobservable inputs that are supported by little or no market activity and are significant to the fair value of the assets or liabilities.

The Company's financial assets and liabilities as of September 30, 2013 that are measured at fair value on a recurring basis were as follows (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
ASSETS			
Marketable securities ⁽¹⁾	\$ 25,660	\$ —	\$ —
Derivative instruments (included in other receivables)	177	5,238	—
Construction reserve funds and Title XI reserve funds	229,021	—	—
LIABILITIES			
Short sale of marketable securities (included in other current liabilities)	8,837	—	—
Derivative instruments (included in other current liabilities)	384	3,299	—

(1) Marketable security gains (losses), net include unrealized losses of \$1.2 million and unrealized losses of \$0.4 million for the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to marketable security positions held by the Company as of September 30, 2013. Marketable security gains (losses), net include unrealized gains of \$9.4 million and unrealized losses of \$0.4 million for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, respectively, related to marketable security positions held by the Company as of September 30, 2013.

[Table of Contents](#)

The estimated fair values of the Company's other financial assets and liabilities as of September 30, 2013 were as follows (in thousands):

	Carrying Amount	Estimated Fair Value		
		Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
ASSETS				
Cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash	\$ 353,660	\$ 353,660	\$ —	\$ —
Investments, at cost, in 50% or less owned companies (included in other assets)	9,315	<i>see below</i>		
Notes receivable from other business ventures (included in other assets)	26,462	<i>see below</i>		
LIABILITIES				
Long-term debt, including current portion ⁽¹⁾	702,179	—	838,218	—

(1) The estimated fair value includes the conversion option on the Company's 2.5% Convertible Notes.

The carrying value of cash, cash equivalents and restricted cash approximates fair value. The fair value of the Company's long-term debt was estimated based upon quoted market prices or by using discounted cash flow analyses based on estimated current rates for similar types of arrangements. It was not practicable to estimate the fair value of the Company's investments, at cost, in 50% or less owned companies because of the lack of quoted market prices and the inability to estimate fair value without incurring excessive costs. It was not practicable to estimate the fair value of the Company's notes receivable from other business ventures as the overall returns are uncertain due to certain provisions for additional payments contingent upon future events. Considerable judgment was required in developing certain of the estimates of fair value and, accordingly, the estimates presented herein are not necessarily indicative of the amounts that the Company could realize in a current market exchange.

The Company's non-financial assets and liabilities that were measured at fair value during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were as follows (in thousands):

	Level 1	Level 2	Level 3
ASSETS			
Long-lived assets under construction ⁽¹⁾	\$ 17,494	\$ —	\$ —
Investment in C-Lift LLC ⁽²⁾	—	13,290	—
Contribution of non-cash consideration to Dorian LPG Ltd. ⁽³⁾	—	14,989	—

- (1) During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recognized impairment charges of \$3.0 million related to two of Shipping Services' harbor tugs while under construction, which were sold and leased back upon their completion (see Note 5).
- (2) During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company marked its equity investment in C-Lift LLC ("C-Lift") to fair value following its acquisition of a controlling interest (see Note 4). The investment's fair value was determined based on the Company's purchase price of the acquired interest.
- (3) During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company marked to fair value the non-cash consideration contributed to Dorian LPG Ltd. ("Dorian") in exchange for an equity investment (see Note 6). The fair value was determined based on the value of the equity investment the Company received.

3. DERIVATIVE INSTRUMENTS AND HEDGING STRATEGIES

Derivative instruments are classified as either assets or liabilities based on their individual fair values. Derivative assets and liabilities are included in other receivables and other current liabilities, respectively, in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. The fair values of the Company's derivative instruments as of September 30, 2013 were as follows (in thousands):

	Derivative Asset	Derivative Liability
Options on equities and equity indices	\$ 269	\$ —
Forward currency exchange, option and future contracts	197	215
Interest rate swap agreements	—	3,071
Commodity swap, option and future contracts:		
Exchange traded	71	383
Non-exchange traded	4,878	14
	<u>\$ 5,415</u>	<u>\$ 3,683</u>

Cash Flow Hedges. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had no interest rate swap agreements designated as cash flow hedges. As of September 30, 2013, one of the Company's Offshore Marine Services 50% or less owned companies had an interest rate swap agreement maturing in 2015 that has been designated as a cash flow hedge. This instrument calls for this company to pay a fixed interest rate of 1.48% on the amortized notional value of \$17.1 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the amortized notional value. As of September 30, 2013, one of the Company's Inland River Services 50% or less owned companies had four interest rate swap agreements with maturities ranging from 2013 through 2015 that have been designated as cash flow hedges. These instruments call for this company to pay fixed rates of interest ranging from 1.53% to 4.16% on the aggregate amortized notional value of \$35.4 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the aggregate amortized notional value. As of September 30, 2013, one of the Company's Shipping Services 50% or less owned companies had an interest rate swap agreement maturing in 2017 that has been designated as a cash flow hedge. The instrument calls for this company to pay a fixed interest rate of 2.79% on the amortized notional value of \$37.8 million and received a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the amortized notional value. As of September 30, 2013, another of the Company's Shipping Services 50% or less owned companies had five interest rate swap agreements with maturities ranging from 2018 to 2020 that have been designated as cash flow hedges. These instruments call for this company to pay fixed rates of interest ranging from 2.96% to 5.40% on the aggregate amortized notional value of \$131.7 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the aggregate amortized notional value. By entering into these interest rate swap agreements, the Company's 50% or less owned companies have converted the variable LIBOR component of certain of their outstanding borrowings to a fixed interest rate.

The Company recognized gains (losses) on derivative instruments designated as cash flow hedges for the nine months ended September 30 as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Interest rate swap agreements, effective portion in other comprehensive income (loss)	\$ 572	\$ (1,290)
Interest rate swap agreements, ineffective portion in derivative losses, net	—	(56)
	<u>\$ 572</u>	<u>\$ (1,346)</u>

Table of Contents

Other Derivative Instruments. The Company recognized gains (losses) on derivative instruments not designated as hedging instruments in derivative losses, net for the nine months ended September 30 as follows (in thousands):

	<u>2013</u>	<u>2012</u>
Options on equities and equity indices	\$ (4,132)	\$ (734)
Forward currency exchange, option and future contracts	(439)	884
Interest rate swap agreements	253	(1,641)
Commodity swap, option and future contracts:		
Exchange traded	368	(2,847)
Non-exchange traded	715	1,960
	<u>\$ (3,235)</u>	<u>\$ (2,378)</u>

The Company, from time to time, holds positions in publicly traded equity options that convey the right or obligation to engage in a future transaction on the underlying equity security or index. The Company's investment in equity options primarily includes positions in energy, marine, transportation and other related businesses. These contracts are typically entered into to mitigate the risk of changes in the market value of marketable security positions that the Company is either about to acquire, has acquired or is about to dispose of.

The Company enters and settles forward currency exchange, option and future contracts with respect to various foreign currencies. As of September 30, 2013, the outstanding forward currency exchange contracts translated into a net purchase of foreign currencies with an aggregate U.S. dollar equivalent of \$13.0 million. These contracts enable the Company to buy currencies in the future at fixed exchange rates, which could offset possible consequences of changes in currency exchange rates with respect to the Company's business conducted outside of the United States. The Company generally does not enter into contracts with forward settlement dates beyond twelve to eighteen months.

The Company has entered into various interest rate swap agreements with maturities ranging from 2013 through 2018 that call for the Company to pay fixed interest rates ranging from 2.25% to 3.05% on an aggregate amortized notional value of \$221.5 million (including an amortized notional value of €10.9 million or \$14.8 million) and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR or Euribor on these notional values. As of September 30, 2013, one of the Company's Offshore Marine Services 50% or less owned companies has entered into an interest rate swap agreement maturing in 2018 that calls for this company to pay a fixed interest rate of 1.30% on the amortized notional value of \$105.6 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the amortized notional value. In addition, one of the Company's Shipping Services 50% or less owned companies has entered into an interest rate swap agreement maturing in 2020 that calls for this company to pay a fixed interest rate of 4.35% on the amortized notional value of \$0.3 million and receive a variable interest rate based on LIBOR on the amortized notional value. The general purpose of these interest rate swap agreements is to provide protection against increases in interest rates, which might lead to higher interest costs for the Company or its 50% or less owned companies.

The Company enters and settles positions in various exchange and non-exchange traded commodity swap, option and future contracts. The Company's ethanol and industrial alcohol manufacturing facility enters into exchange traded positions (primarily corn) to protect its raw material and finished goods inventory balance from market changes. In the Company's agricultural trading business, fixed price future purchase and sale contracts for sugar are included in the Company's non-exchange traded derivative positions. The Company enters into exchange traded positions to protect these purchase and sale contracts as well as its inventory balances from market changes. As of September 30, 2013, the net market exposure to corn and sugar under these contracts was not material. The Company also enters into exchange traded positions (primarily natural gas, heating oil, crude oil, gasoline, corn and sugar) to provide value to the Company should there be a sustained decline in the price of commodities that could lead to a reduction in the market values and cash flows of the Company's Offshore Marine Services, Inland River Services and Shipping Services businesses. As of September 30, 2013, these positions were not material.

4. BUSINESS ACQUISITIONS

C-Lift Acquisition. On June 6, 2013, the Company acquired a 100% controlling interest in C-Lift through the acquisition of its partner's 50% interest for \$12.7 million in cash subject to certain working capital adjustments (see Note 6). C-Lift owns and operates two liftboats in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico. The Company performed a fair value analysis and the purchase price was allocated to the acquired assets and liabilities based on their fair values resulting in no goodwill being recorded. The preliminary fair value analysis is pending completion of a final valuation for the acquired assets and liabilities.

[Table of Contents](#)

Pantagro Acquisition. On June 25, 2012, the Company acquired a 95% controlling interest in Pantagro-Pantanal Produtos Agropecuarios Ltda. ("Pantagro") for \$0.4 million (\$0.2 million in cash and \$0.2 million in a note payable). Pantagro is an Argentine agricultural trading company focusing primarily on salt. The Company performed a fair value analysis and the purchase price was allocated to the acquired assets and liabilities based on their fair values resulting in no goodwill being recorded. The fair value analysis was finalized in March 2013.

Superior Lift Boats Acquisition. On March 30, 2012, the Company acquired 18 lift boats, real property and working capital from Superior Energy Inc. ("Superior") for \$142.5 million. The Company performed a fair value analysis and the purchase price was allocated to the acquired assets and liabilities based on their fair values resulting in no goodwill being recorded. The fair value analysis was finalized in March 2013.

Purchase Price Allocation. The following table summarizes the allocation of the purchase price for the Company's business acquisitions during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 (in thousands):

Trade and other receivables	\$ 3,250
Other current assets	32
Investments, at Equity, and Advances to 50% or Less Owned Companies	(13,290)
Property and Equipment	43,521
Intangible Assets	1,599
Accounts payable	(264)
Other current liabilities	(1,640)
Long-Term Debt	(22,668)
Purchase price ⁽¹⁾	<u>\$ 10,540</u>

(1) Purchase price is net of cash acquired of \$2.2 million.

5. EQUIPMENT ACQUISITIONS, DISPOSITIONS AND DEPRECIATION AND IMPAIRMENT POLICIES

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, capital expenditures were \$146.5 million. Equipment deliveries during the period included two specialty offshore support vessels, two liftboats, three wind farm utility vessels, two inland river liquid tank barges and four U.S.-flag harbor tugs.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company sold four crew boats, one mini-supply vessel, one supply vessel, three specialty offshore support vessels, five liftboats, 16 inland river dry cargo barges, eight inland river liquid tank barges, eight U.S.-flag harbor tugs and other property and equipment for net proceeds of \$214.7 million (\$205.7 million in cash, \$0.2 million in vendor credits and \$8.8 million in seller financing) and gains of \$49.0 million, of which \$34.4 million were recognized currently and \$14.6 million were deferred. In addition, the Company recognized previously deferred gains of \$2.1 million. Two of the specialty offshore support vessels and the supply vessel were sold to certain of the Company's Offshore Marine Services' 50% or less owned companies for \$83.7 million (see Note 6).

The Company has sold certain equipment to its 50% or less owned companies, entered into vessel sale-leaseback transactions with finance companies, and provided seller financing on sales of its equipment to third parties and its 50% or less owned companies. A portion of the gains realized from these transactions were deferred and recorded in deferred gains and other liabilities in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets. Deferred gain activity related to these transactions for the nine months ended September 30 was as follows (in thousands):

	2013	2012
Balance at beginning of period	\$ 111,514	\$ 117,192
Deferred gains arising from asset sales	14,609	7,280
Amortization of deferred gains included in operating expenses as a reduction to rental expense	(7,707)	(13,573)
Amortization of deferred gains included in gains on asset dispositions and impairments, net	(2,146)	(7,872)
Balance at end of period	<u>\$ 116,270</u>	<u>\$ 103,027</u>

Table of Contents

Equipment, stated at cost, is depreciated using the straight-line method over the estimated useful life of the asset to an estimated salvage value. With respect to each class of asset, the estimated useful life is based upon a newly built asset being placed into service and represents the point at which it is typically not justifiable for the Company to continue to operate the asset in the same or similar manner. From time to time, the Company may acquire older assets that have already exceeded the Company's useful life policy, in which case the Company depreciates such assets based on its best estimate of remaining useful life, typically the next survey or certification date.

As of September 30, 2013, the estimated useful life (in years) of each of the Company's major categories of new equipment was as follows:

Offshore support vessels (excluding wind farm utility)	20
Wind farm utility vessels	10
Inland river dry cargo and deck barges	20
Inland river liquid tank barges	25
Inland river towboats	25
U.S.-flag product tankers	25
RORO ⁽¹⁾ vessels	20
Harbor tugs	25
Ocean liquid tank barges	25
Terminal and manufacturing facilities	20

(1) Roll on/Roll off
("RORO").

The Company performs an impairment analysis of long-lived assets used in operations, including intangible assets, when indicators of impairment are present. If the carrying value of the assets is not recoverable, as determined by the estimated undiscounted cash flows, the carrying value of the assets is reduced to fair value. Generally, fair value is determined using valuation techniques, such as expected discounted cash flows or appraisals, as appropriate. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company recognized impairment charges of \$3.0 million related to two of Shipping Services' harbor tugs while under construction, which were sold and leased back upon their completion.

6. INVESTMENTS, AT EQUITY, AND ADVANCES TO 50% OR LESS OWNED COMPANIES

OSV Partners. On August 13, 2013, the Company and Bream Transportation Services LLC formed SEACOR OSV Partners GP LLC and SEACOR OSV Partners I LP (collectively "OSV Partners") to own and operate six offshore support vessels, one of which was acquired during the third quarter. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company contributed \$1.8 million in capital and provided financing of \$7.6 million. During the fourth quarter, OSV Partners anticipates closing on a private placement equity offering with third party limited partner members and a bank financing arrangement.

Dorian. On July 25, 2013, the Company contributed \$57.0 million to Dorian in exchange for a 25% noncontrolling ownership interest. The contribution included \$42.1 million in net cash and other consideration valued at \$14.9 million that included certain progress payments made toward the construction of the two liquefied petroleum gas tankers (Very Large Gas Carriers, otherwise known as VLGCs), the construction contracts for the two VLGCs and the options to construct additional VLGCs. In addition to the VLGC construction contracts, Dorian currently operates a fleet of three VLGCs in international trade.

C-Lift. C-Lift was a 50% or less owned company established to construct and operate liftboats. On June 6, 2013, the Company acquired a 100% controlling interest in C-Lift through the acquisition of its partner's 50% interest for \$12.7 million in cash subject to certain working capital adjustments (see Note 4). Upon the acquisition, the Company adjusted its investment in C-Lift to fair value resulting in the recognition of a gain of \$4.2 million, net of tax, which is included in equity in earnings (losses) of 50% or less owned companies in the accompanying condensed consolidated statements of income.

Sea-Cat Crewzer II. On January 23, 2013, the Company and another offshore support vessel operator formed Sea-Cat Crewzer II LLC ("Sea-Cat Crewzer II") to own and operate two high speed offshore catamaran crew boats. The Company and its partner each contributed capital of \$23.0 million in cash. Sea-Cat Crewzer II then purchased two high speed offshore catamaran crew boats from the Company for \$47.3 million (\$44.5 million in cash and \$2.8 million in seller financing).

MexMar. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company made an additional cash investment of \$5.9 million in Mantenimiento Express Marítimo, S.A.P.I. de C.V. ("MexMar"), an Offshore Marine Services 50% or less owned company. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, MexMar purchased one offshore support vessel from the Company for \$36.4 million (\$30.4 million in cash and \$6.0 million in seller financing). During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, MexMar repaid the \$6.0 million of seller financing and the Company provided an additional \$1.7 million advance for the purchase of another offshore support vessel from a third party, which was repaid.

SCFCo Holdings. SCFCo Holdings LLC ("SCFCo") was established to operate towboats and dry cargo barges on the Parana-Paraguay Rivers and a terminal facility at Port Ibicuy, Argentina. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company contributed additional capital of \$4.0 million to fund SCFCo's operations, provided net temporary working capital advances of \$2.3 million and received working capital repayments of \$1.8 million. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had outstanding loans to SCFCo Holdings of \$3.4 million.

Bunge-SCF Grain. Bunge-SCF Grain LLC ("Bunge-SCF Grain") was established to construct and operate a terminal grain elevator in Fairmont City, Illinois. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company and its partner each made a working capital advance of \$2.5 million to Bunge-SCF Grain and received \$0.5 million of repayments on working capital advances. As of September 30, 2013, the total outstanding balance of working capital advances was \$7.0 million.

Witt O'Brien's. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company received dividends of \$1.5 million from Witt O'Brien's LLC.

Other. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company made a \$0.5 million capital contribution to one of its industrial aviation businesses in Asia. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company received a \$1.0 million repayment on advances made to one of its industrial aviation businesses in Asia and dividends of \$6.5 million from certain Offshore Marine Services 50% or less owned companies.

Guarantees. The Company has guaranteed the payment of amounts owed by one of its 50% or less owned companies under a vessel charter and has guaranteed amounts owed under banking facilities by certain of its 50% or less owned companies. As of September 30, 2013, the total amount guaranteed by the Company under these arrangements was \$15.3 million. In addition, as of September 30, 2013, the Company had uncalled capital commitments to two of its 50% or less owned companies for a total of \$2.4 million.

7. COMMITMENTS AND CONTINGENCIES

As of September 30, 2013, the Company's unfunded capital commitments were \$366.5 million and included: 15 offshore support vessels for \$100.6 million; two inland river liquid tank barges for \$1.7 million; five inland river towboats for \$6.3 million; two U.S.-flag product tankers for \$250.5 million and other equipment and improvements for \$7.4 million. Of these commitments, \$25.0 million is payable during the remainder of 2013, \$300.5 million is payable during 2014-2015 and \$41.0 million is payable during 2016-2017. Subsequent to September 30, 2013, the Company committed to purchase two offshore support vessels and one inland river towboat for a total of \$39.2 million.

On July 14, 2010, a group of individuals and entities purporting to represent a class commenced a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, Terry G. Robin, et al. v. Seacor Marine, L.L.C., et al., No. 2:10-CV-01986 (E.D. La.) (the "Robin Case"), in which they asserted that support vessels, including vessels owned by the Company, responding to the explosion and resulting fire that occurred aboard the semi-submersible drilling rig, the *Deepwater Horizon*, were negligent in their efforts to save lives and put out the fire and contributed to the sinking of the *Deepwater Horizon* and subsequent oil spill. The action was part of the overall multi-district litigation, *In re Oil Spill by the Oil Rig "Deepwater Horizon", MDL No. 2179* ("MDL"). The complaint sought compensatory, punitive, exemplary, and other damages. In response to this lawsuit, the Company filed petitions seeking exoneration from, or limitation of liability in relation to, any actions that may have been taken by vessels owned by the Company to extinguish the fire. On June 8, 2011, the Company moved to dismiss these claims (with the exception of one claim filed by a Company employee) on various legal grounds. On October 12, 2011, the Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss in its entirety, dismissing with prejudice all claims that had been filed against the Company in the limitation actions (with the exception of one claim filed by a Company employee that was not subject to the motion to dismiss). The Court entered final judgments in favor of the Company in the Robin Case and each of the limitation actions on November 21, 2011. On December 12, 2011, the claimants appealed each of those judgments to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit ("Fifth Circuit"). The claimants' opening brief was submitted on May 7, 2012, and the claimants filed a reply brief on June 1, 2012. Oral argument was not requested by the Fifth Circuit. On December 13, 2012, the Fifth Circuit affirmed the judgment of the district court. The claimants have not petitioned the United States Supreme Court for a writ of certiorari and their deadline to do so has expired.

Table of Contents

With respect to the one claim filed by a Company employee, that individual also commenced a separate action in the MDL entitled *DuWayne Mason v. Seacor Marine, LLC*, No. 2:11-CV-00826 (E.D. La.), in which he alleges sustaining personal injuries not only in connection with responding to the explosion and fire, but also in the months thereafter in connection with the clean-up of oil and dispersants while a member of the crew of the M/V *Seacor Vanguard*. Although the case is subject to the MDL Court's stay of individual proceedings, on July 16, 2012 the employee sought to sever his case from the MDL. On March 5, 2013, the Court denied the motion, and on April 2, 2013, the employee filed a motion asking the Court to reconsider. The Company filed its response opposing the employee's motion on April 30, 2013, and on May 3, 2013, the Court denied the motion. On May 22, 2013, the employee filed a Notice of Appeal to the Fifth Circuit. On July 24, 2013, the Company filed a motion to dismiss for lack of appellate jurisdiction. The Fifth Circuit granted the Company's motion to dismiss on August 16, 2013.

On July 20, 2010, two individuals purporting to represent a class commenced a civil action in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans in the State of Louisiana, *John Wunstell, Jr. and Kelly Blanchard v. BP, et al.*, No. 2010-7437 (Division K) (the "Wunstell Action"), in which they assert, among other theories, that Mr. Wunstell suffered injuries as a result of his exposure to certain noxious fumes and chemicals in connection with the provision of remediation, containment and response services by O'Brien's Response Management Inc. ("ORM"), a subsidiary of the Company prior to the ORM Transaction (as defined in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012). The action now is part of the overall MDL. The complaint also seeks to establish a "class-wide court-supervised medical monitoring program" for all individuals "participating in BP's Deepwater Horizon Vessels of Opportunity Program and/or Horizon Response Program" who allegedly experienced injuries similar to those of Mr. Wunstell. The Company believes this lawsuit has no merit and will continue to vigorously defend the action and pursuant to contractual agreements with the responsible party, the responsible party has agreed, subject to certain potential limitations, to indemnify and defend ORM in connection with the Wunstell Action and claims asserted in the MDL, discussed further below. Although the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from this matter, the Company does not expect it will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

On December 15, 2010, ORM and National Response Corporation ("NRC"), subsidiaries of the Company prior to the ORM Transaction and SES Business Transaction (as defined in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012), respectively, were named as defendants in one of the several consolidated "master complaints" that have been filed in the overall MDL. The master complaint naming ORM and NRC asserts various claims on behalf of a putative class against multiple defendants concerning the clean-up activities generally, and the use of dispersants specifically. By court order, the Wunstell Action has been stayed as a result of the filing of the referenced master complaint. The Company believes that the claims asserted against ORM and NRC in the master complaint have no merit and on February 28, 2011, ORM and NRC moved to dismiss all claims against them in the master complaint on legal grounds. On September 30, 2011, the Court granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss that ORM and NRC had filed (an amended decision was issued on October 4, 2011 that corrected several grammatical errors and non-substantive oversights in the original order). Although the Court refused to dismiss the referenced master complaint in its entirety at that time, the Court did recognize the validity of the "derivative immunity" and "implied preemption" arguments that ORM and NRC advanced and directed ORM and NRC to (i) conduct limited discovery to develop evidence to support those arguments and (ii) then re-assert the arguments. The Court did, however, dismiss all state-law claims and certain other claims that had been asserted in the referenced master complaint, and dismissed the claims of all plaintiffs that have failed to allege a legally-sufficient injury. A schedule for limited discovery and motion practice was established by the Court and, in accordance with that schedule, ORM and NRC filed for summary judgment re-asserting their derivative immunity and implied preemption arguments on May 18, 2012. Those motions were argued on July 13, 2012 and are still pending decision. In addition to the indemnity provided to ORM, pursuant to contractual agreements with the responsible party, the responsible party has agreed, subject to certain potential limitations, to indemnify and defend ORM and NRC in connection with these claims in the MDL. Although the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from this matter, the Company does not expect it will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

Subsequent to the filing of the referenced master complaint, nine additional individual civil actions have been filed in or removed to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana concerning the clean-up activities generally, which name the Company, ORM and/or NRC as defendants or third-party defendants and are part of the overall MDL. On April 8, 2011, ORM was named as a defendant in *Johnson Bros. Corporation of Louisiana v. BP, PLC, et al.*, No. 2:11-CV-00781 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual business seeking damages allegedly caused by a delay on a construction project alleged to have resulted from the clean-up operations. On April 15, 2011, ORM and NRC were named as defendants in *James and Krista Pearson v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc. ("BP Exploration"), et al.*, No. 2:11-CV-00863 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by a husband and wife, who allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and are seeking damages for personal injury, property damage to their boat, and amounts allegedly due under contract. On April 15, 2011, ORM and NRC were named as defendants in *Thomas Edward Black v. BP Exploration, et al.*, No. 2:11-CV-00867 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual who is seeking damages for lost income because he allegedly could not find work in the fishing industry after the oil spill. On April 20, 2011, a complaint was filed in *Darnell Alexander, et al. v. BP, PLC, et al.*, No. 2:11-CV-00951 (E.D. La.) on behalf of 117 individual plaintiffs that seek to adopt the allegations made in the referenced master complaint against ORM and NRC (and the other defendants). Plaintiffs in this matter

[Table of Contents](#)

have since been granted leave to amend their complaint to include 410 additional individual plaintiffs. On October 3, 2012, ORM and NRC were served with a Rule 14(c) Third-Party Complaint by Jambon Supplier II, L.L.C. and Jambon Marine Holdings L.L.C. in their Limitation of Liability action, In the Matter of Jambon Supplier II, L.L.C., et al., No. 2:12-CV-00426 (E.D. La.). This Third-Party Complaint alleges that if claimant David Dinwiddie, who served as a clean-up crewmember aboard the M/V JAMBON SUPPLIER II vessel during the clean-up efforts, was injured as a result of his exposure to dispersants and chemicals during the course and scope of his employment, then said injuries were caused by the third-party defendants. On November 25, 2012, ORM was named as a defendant in *Victoria Sanchez v. American Pollution Control Corp.* et al., No. 2:12-CV-00164 (E.D. La.), a maritime suit filed by an individual who allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and sustained personal injuries during the course of such employment. On December 17, 2012, the Court unsealed a False Claims Act lawsuit naming ORM as a defendant, *Dillon v. BP, PLC et al.*, No. 2:12-CV-00987 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual seeking damages and penalties arising from alleged false reports and claims made to the federal government with respect to the amount of oil burned and dispersed during the clean-up. The federal government has declined to intervene in this suit. On April 8, 2013, the Company, ORM, and NRC were named as defendants in *William and Dianna Fitzgerald v. BP Exploration et al.*, No. 2:13-CV-00650 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by a husband and wife whose son allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and became ill as a result of his exposure to oil and dispersants. Finally, on April 17, 2013, ORM was named as a defendant in *Danos et al. v. BP America Production Co. et al.*, No. 2:13-CV-03747 (removed to E.D. La.), which is a suit by eight individuals seeking damages for dispersant exposure either as a result of their work during clean-up operations or as a result of their residence in the Gulf. By court order, all nine of these additional individual cases have been stayed until further notice. The Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these matters but believes they are without merit and does not expect that they will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

On February 18, 2011, Triton Asset Leasing GmbH, Transocean Holdings LLC, Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling Inc., and Transocean Deepwater Inc. (collectively "Transocean") named ORM and NRC as third-party defendants in a Rule 14(c) Third-Party Complaint in Transocean's own Limitation of Liability Act action, which is part of the overall MDL, tendering to ORM and NRC the claims in the referenced master complaint that have already been asserted against ORM and NRC. Transocean, Cameron International Corporation, Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., and M-I L.L.C. also filed cross-claims against ORM and NRC for contribution and tort indemnity should they be found liable for any damages in Transocean's Limitation of Liability Act action and ORM and NRC have asserted counterclaims against those same parties for identical relief. Weatherford U.S., L.P. and Weatherford International, Inc. (collectively "Weatherford") had also filed cross-claims against ORM and NRC, but moved to voluntarily dismiss these cross-claims without prejudice on February 8, 2013. The Court granted Weatherford's motion that same day. As indicated above, the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these actions but believes they are without merit and does not expect that these matters will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

On November 16, 2012, 668 individuals who served as beach clean-up workers in Escambia County, Florida during the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill response commenced a civil action in the Circuit Court for the First Judicial Circuit of Florida, in and for Escambia County, *Abney et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al.*, No. 2012-CA-002947, in which they allege, among other things, that ORM and other defendants engaged in the contamination of Florida waters and beaches in violation of Florida Statutes Chapter 376 and injured the plaintiffs by exposing them to dispersants during the course and scope of their employment. The case was removed to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida on January 13, 2013, *Abney et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al.*, No. 3:13-CV-00024 (N.D. Fla.), and on January 16, 2013, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") issued a Conditional Transfer Order ("CTO") transferring the case to the MDL, subject to any timely-filed notice of objection from the plaintiffs. Upon receipt of a notice of objection from the plaintiffs, a briefing schedule was set by the JPML, and so a stay of proceedings and suspension of deadlines was sought and obtained by the Court in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida. Following briefing before the JPML, the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and consolidated with the MDL on April 2, 2013. On April 22, 2013, a companion case to this matter was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida, *Abood et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al.*, No. 3:13-CV-00284 (N.D. Fla.), which alleges identical allegations against the same parties but names an additional 174 plaintiffs, all of whom served as clean-up workers in various Florida counties during the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill response. A CTO was issued by the JPML on May 2, 2013, no objection was filed by the plaintiffs, and the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and consolidated with the MDL on May 10, 2013. By court order, both of these matters have been stayed until further notice. The Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these matters but believes they are without merit and does not expect that these matters will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

Separately, on March 2, 2012, the Court announced that BP Exploration and BP America Production Company ("BP America") (collectively "BP") and the plaintiffs had reached an agreement on the terms of two proposed class action settlements that will resolve, among other things, plaintiffs' economic loss claims and clean-up related claims against BP. The parties filed their proposed settlement agreements on April 18, 2012 along with motions seeking preliminary approval of the settlements. The Court held a hearing on April 25, 2012 to consider those motions and preliminarily approved both settlements on May 2, 2012.

[Table of Contents](#)

A final fairness hearing took place on November 8, 2012. The Court granted final approval to the Economic and Property Damages Class Action Settlement on December 21, 2012, and granted final approval to the Medical Benefits Class Action Settlement on January 11, 2013. Both class action settlements are currently on appeal to the Fifth Circuit. Although neither the Company, ORM, or NRC are parties to the settlement agreements, the Company, ORM, and NRC are listed as released parties on the releases accompanying both settlement agreements. As the releases for both settlements have been deemed valid and enforceable by the district court, if the Fifth Circuit affirms these decisions, class members who did not file timely requests for exclusion will be barred from pursuing economic loss, property damage, personal injury, medical monitoring, and/or other released claims against the Company, ORM, and NRC. At this time, the Company expects these settlements to reduce ORM's potential exposure, if any, from some of the pending actions described above, and continues to evaluate the settlements' impacts on these cases.

On January 29, 2013, HEPACO, LLC ("HEPACO"), served a demand for arbitration upon ORM, in which HEPACO claims that ORM owes HEPACO an additional fee of \$20,291,178.92 under the parties' Management Services Agreement ("MSA"), dated June 1, 2010. According to HEPACO, the MSA requires ORM to pay HEPACO an additional fee of 30% of total charges paid under the MSA ("Surcharge") to compensate HEPACO for U.S. Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' insurance or Jones Act insurance and related risks attendant to the work when contract requires labor to be performed over, adjoining and/or in water. ORM denies liability for the Surcharge, intends to vigorously defend against the claim, and has sought indemnity for any resulting judgment and related attorneys fees from BP America and BP Exploration. ORM has advised BP that, pursuant to the Bridge Agreement HOU-WL4-3066 between BP and ORM, effective as of June 1, 2010, under which ORM managed and oversaw, for BP, subcontractors, such as HEPACO, in connection with on-shore services related to the BP *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill, BP ultimately is responsible for the payment of the Surcharge should HEPACO be determined to be entitled to recover it under the MSA.

ORM is defending against three collective action lawsuits, each asserting failure to pay overtime with respect to individuals who provided service on the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill response (the "DPH FLSA Actions") under the Fair Labor Standards Act ("FLSA"). These cases - *Dennis Prejean v. O'Brien's Response Management Inc.* (E.D. La., Case No.: 2:12-cv-01045) (the "Prejean Action"); *Baylor Singleton et al. v. O'Brien's Response Management Inc. et al.* (E.D. La., Case No.: 2:12-cv-01716) (the "Singleton Action"); and *Himmerite et al. v. O'Brien's Response Management Inc. et al.* (E.D. La., Case No.: 2:12-cv-01533) (the "Himmerite Action") - were each brought on behalf of certain individuals who worked on the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill response and who were classified as independent contractors. The Prejean, Himmerite and Singleton Actions were each filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and then subsequently consolidated with the overall MDL, in which the Himmerite and Singleton Actions were stayed pursuant to procedures of the MDL. However, all three cases were severed from the MDL on September 19, 2013, and referred to a Magistrate Judge for pretrial case management, including issuing a scheduling order, overseeing discovery, and any other preliminary matters. The Himmerite and Singleton Actions are still pre-answer. In the Prejean Action, ORM has answered the complaint, a scheduling order has been issued, and plaintiffs have, among other things, filed a Motion for Conditional Certification, which is fully briefed and submitted. The pending Motion for Conditional Certification was referred by order dated September 19, 2013 to the Magistrate Judge for his report and recommendation. The limitations periods for potential plaintiffs to opt-in to the Prejean, Himmerite and Singleton Actions have all been tolled pending further action by the Court. The Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from any of these DWH FLSA Actions, but believes they are without merit and will continue to vigorously defend against them.

In the course of the Company's business, it may agree to indemnify the counterparty to an agreement. If the indemnified party makes a successful claim for indemnification, the Company would be required to reimburse that party in accordance with the terms of the indemnification agreement. Indemnification agreements generally are subject to threshold amounts, specified claim periods and other restrictions and limitations.

In connection with the SES Business Transaction and the ORM Transaction, the Company remains contingently liable for certain indemnification obligations, including potential liabilities relating to work performed in connection with the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill response. In the case of the SES Business Transaction, such potential liabilities may not exceed the purchase consideration received by the Company for the SES Business Transaction and in the case of the ORM Transaction, are subject to a negotiated cap. The Company currently is indemnified under contractual agreements with BP with respect to such potential liabilities.

In the normal course of its business, the Company becomes involved in various other litigation matters including, among other things, claims by third parties for alleged property damages and personal injuries. Management has used estimates in determining the Company's potential exposure to these matters and has recorded reserves in its financial statements related thereto where appropriate. It is possible that a change in the Company's estimates of that exposure could occur, but the Company does not expect such changes in estimated costs would have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

8. MULTI-EMPLOYER PENSION PLANS

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company received notification from the American Maritime Officers Pension Plan (the "AMOPP") that based on an actuarial valuation performed as of September 30, 2012, if the Company chooses to withdraw from the AMOPP, its withdrawal liability will be \$45.6 million. That liability may change in future years based on various factors, primarily employee census. As of September 30, 2013, the Company has no intention to withdraw from the AMOPP and no deficit amounts have been invoiced. Depending upon the results of the future actuarial valuations and the ten-year rehabilitation plan, it is possible that the AMOPP will experience further funding deficits, requiring the Company to recognize additional payroll related operating expenses in the periods invoices are received or contribution levels are increased.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company also received notification from the United Kingdom Merchant Navy Officers Pension Fund ("MNOFP") that the results of a 2012 actuarial valuation indicated that an additional net funding deficit of £120.0 million had developed since the previous actuarial valuation in 2009 and the Company's allocable share of the additional deficit was £1.7 million. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company received the invoice for the additional funding deficit and recognized additional payroll related operating expenses of \$2.7 million. Depending upon the results of the future actuarial valuations, it is possible that the MNOFP will experience further funding deficits, requiring the Company to recognize additional payroll related operating expenses in the periods invoices are received.

9. LONG-TERM DEBT AND CAPITAL LEASE OBLIGATIONS

On August 9, 2013, the Company voluntarily terminated the SEACOR revolving credit facility.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$28.1 million with various expiration dates through 2016.

During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company made scheduled payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations of \$13.1 million and had net borrowings of \$4.2 million under inventory financing arrangements.

SEACOR's Board of Directors has previously authorized the Company to purchase any or all of its 7.375% Senior Notes due 2019, which may be acquired through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, depending on market conditions. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company did not repurchase any of its 7.375% Senior Notes due 2019.

10. STOCK REPURCHASES

SEACOR's Board of Directors previously approved a securities repurchase plan that authorizes the Company to acquire shares of SEACOR common stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Common Stock"), which may be acquired through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, depending on market conditions. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company did not acquire any shares of Common Stock for treasury. As of September 30, 2013, the remaining authority under the repurchase plan was \$100.0 million.

11. EARNINGS PER COMMON SHARE OF SEACOR

Basic earnings per common share of SEACOR are computed based on the weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding during the relevant periods. Diluted earnings per common share of SEACOR are computed based on the weighted average number of common shares issued and outstanding plus the effect of potentially dilutive securities through the application of the treasury stock and if-converted methods. Dilutive securities for this purpose assumes restricted stock grants have vested, common shares have been issued pursuant to the exercise of outstanding stock options and common shares have been issued pursuant to the conversion of all outstanding convertible notes.

[Table of Contents](#)

Computations of basic and diluted earnings per common share of SEACOR were as follows (in thousands, except share data):

	Three Months Ended September 30,			Nine Months Ended September 30,		
	Net Income Attributable to SEACOR	Average O/S Shares	Per Share	Net Income Attributable to SEACOR (As Restated)	Average O/S Shares	Per Share (As Restated)
2013						
Basic Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	\$ 30,291	19,964,695	\$ 1.52	\$ 28,574	19,843,778	\$ 1.44
Effect of Dilutive Share Awards:						
Options and Restricted Stock ⁽¹⁾	—	436,364		—	354,671	
Convertible Notes ⁽²⁾	3,086	4,200,525		—	—	
Diluted Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	\$ 33,377	24,601,584	\$ 1.36	\$ 28,574	20,198,449	\$ 1.41
2012						
Basic Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	\$ 16,102	20,432,997	\$ 0.79	\$ 63,839	20,512,118	\$ 3.11
Effect of Dilutive Share Awards:						
Options and Restricted Stock ⁽¹⁾	—	307,459		—	326,350	
Diluted Weighted Average Common Shares Outstanding	\$ 16,102	20,740,456	\$ 0.78	\$ 63,839	20,838,468	\$ 3.06

(1) For the three months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, diluted earnings per common share of SEACOR excluded 115,832 and 655,168 of certain share awards, respectively, as the effect of their inclusion in the computation would be anti-dilutive. For the nine months ended September 30, 2013 and 2012, diluted earnings per share of SEACOR excluded 303,313 and 555,768 of certain share awards, respectively, as the effect of their inclusion in the computation would be anti-dilutive.

(2) For the nine months ended September 30, 2013, diluted earnings per common share of SEACOR excluded 4,200,525 common shares issuable pursuant to the Company's 2.5% Convertible Senior Notes as the effect of their inclusion in the computation would be anti-dilutive.

12. SHARE BASED COMPENSATION

Transactions in connection with the Company's share based compensation plans during the nine months ended September 30, 2013 were as follows:

Director stock awards granted	2,000
Employee Stock Purchase Plan ("ESPP") shares issued	31,586
Restricted stock awards granted	148,300
Restricted stock awards canceled	18,000
Shares released from Deferred Compensation Plan	1,692
Stock Option Activities:	
Outstanding as of December 31, 2012	1,281,821
Granted ⁽¹⁾	480,762
Exercised	(278,354)
Forfeited	(800)
Expired	(1,576)
Outstanding as of September 30, 2013	1,481,853
Shares available for future grants and ESPP purchases as of September 30, 2013	558,145

[Table of Contents](#)

(1) During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company granted 318,012 stock options to existing option holders under make-whole provisions upon the Spin-off of Era Group.

13. SEGMENT INFORMATION

Accounting standards require public business enterprises to report information about each of their operating business segments that exceed certain quantitative thresholds or meet certain other reporting requirements. Operating business segments have been defined as components of an enterprise about which separate financial information is available and is evaluated regularly by the chief operating decision maker in deciding how to allocate resources and in assessing performance. Certain reclassifications of prior period information have been made to conform to the current period's reportable segment presentation as a result of the Company's presentation of discontinued operations (see Notes 1 and 14). The Company's basis of measurement of segment profit or loss is as previously described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012.

The following tables summarize the operating results, capital expenditures and assets of the Company's reportable segments.

	Offshore Marine Services \$'000	Inland River Services \$'000	Shipping Services \$'000	Ethanol and Industrial Alcohol \$'000	Other \$'000	Corporate and Eliminations \$'000	Total \$'000
For the three months ended September 30, 2013							
Operating Revenues:							
External customers	156,173	51,950	48,200	52,580	27,881	—	336,784
Intersegment	25	792	—	—	—	(817)	—
	<u>156,198</u>	<u>52,742</u>	<u>48,200</u>	<u>52,580</u>	<u>27,881</u>	<u>(817)</u>	<u>336,784</u>
Costs and Expenses:							
Operating	95,113	38,473	28,215	52,390	26,141	(792)	239,540
Administrative and general	14,132	3,431	5,133	428	1,429	6,910	31,463
Depreciation and amortization	16,470	6,869	7,841	1,489	92	742	33,503
	<u>125,715</u>	<u>48,773</u>	<u>41,189</u>	<u>54,307</u>	<u>27,662</u>	<u>6,860</u>	<u>304,506</u>
Gains on Asset Dispositions	15,343	783	3,104	—	—	—	19,230
Operating Income (Loss)	<u>45,826</u>	<u>4,752</u>	<u>10,115</u>	<u>(1,727)</u>	<u>219</u>	<u>(7,677)</u>	<u>51,508</u>
Other Income (Expense):							
Derivative gains (losses), net	32	—	—	1,129	(380)	(1,084)	(303)
Foreign currency (gains) losses, net	1,937	(89)	6	—	15	361	2,230
Other, net	—	—	540	—	(3)	(60)	477
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	<u>1,527</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>(1,413)</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>36</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>230</u>
Segment Profit (Loss)	<u>49,322</u>	<u>4,743</u>	<u>9,248</u>	<u>(598)</u>	<u>(113)</u>		
Other Income (Expense) not included in Segment Profit (Loss)							(7,389)
Less Equity Earnings (Losses) included in Segment Profit (Loss)							(230)
Income Before Taxes, Equity Earnings and Discontinued Operations							<u>46,523</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

	Offshore Marine Services \$'000	Inland River Services \$'000	Shipping Services \$'000	Ethanol and Industrial Alcohol ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ \$'000	Other \$'000	Corporate and Eliminations \$'000	Total \$'000
For the nine months ended September 30, 2013							
Operating Revenues:							
External customers	418,815	148,153	142,779	146,807	62,857	—	919,411
Intersegment	77	2,023	—	—	—	(2,100)	—
	<u>418,892</u>	<u>150,176</u>	<u>142,779</u>	<u>146,807</u>	<u>62,857</u>	<u>(2,100)</u>	<u>919,411</u>
Costs and Expenses:							
Operating	282,725	110,055	84,383	145,837	59,589	(2,023)	680,566
Administrative and general	43,194	11,376	16,434	1,566	4,408	24,848	101,826
Depreciation and amortization	49,217	21,031	23,545	4,467	287	2,287	100,834
	<u>375,136</u>	<u>142,462</u>	<u>124,362</u>	<u>151,870</u>	<u>64,284</u>	<u>25,112</u>	<u>883,226</u>
Gains on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, Net	25,577	5,776	149	—	1,907	141	33,550
Operating Income (Loss)	<u>69,333</u>	<u>13,490</u>	<u>18,566</u>	<u>(5,063)</u>	<u>480</u>	<u>(27,071)</u>	<u>69,735</u>
Other Income (Expense):							
Derivative gains (losses), net	357	—	—	1,641	12	(5,245)	(3,235)
Foreign currency losses, net	(2,160)	(7)	(9)	—	(321)	(200)	(2,697)
Other, net	11	—	742	—	51	(129)	675
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	10,534	(2,306)	(3,321)	—	2,164	—	7,071
Segment Profit (Loss)	<u>78,075</u>	<u>11,177</u>	<u>15,978</u>	<u>(3,422)</u>	<u>2,386</u>		
Other Income (Expense) not included in Segment Profit (Loss)							(11,214)
Less Equity Earnings (Losses) included in Segment Profit (Loss)							(7,071)
Income Before Taxes, Equity Earnings and Discontinued Operations							<u>53,264</u>
Capital Expenditures	<u>85,324</u>	<u>22,138</u>	<u>36,218</u>	<u>656</u>	<u>384</u>	<u>1,763</u>	<u>146,483</u>
As of September 30, 2013							
Property and Equipment:							
Historical cost	1,160,334	473,349	495,877	43,812	3,992	30,951	2,208,315
Accumulated depreciation	(457,454)	(140,747)	(216,270)	(10,081)	(600)	(10,452)	(835,604)
	<u>702,880</u>	<u>332,602</u>	<u>279,607</u>	<u>33,731</u>	<u>3,392</u>	<u>20,499</u>	<u>1,372,711</u>
Construction in progress	95,552	23,814	7,323	632	2,119	41	129,481
	<u>798,432</u>	<u>356,416</u>	<u>286,930</u>	<u>34,363</u>	<u>5,511</u>	<u>20,540</u>	<u>1,502,192</u>
Investments, at Equity, and Advances to 50% or Less Owned Companies	99,191	57,445	123,354	—	85,901	—	365,891
Inventories	6,187	2,277	1,794	12,856	2,328	—	25,442
Goodwill	13,367	2,759	1,852	—	—	—	17,978
Intangible Assets	4,116	8,049	1,002	29	387	—	13,583
Other current and long-term assets, excluding cash and near cash assets ⁽³⁾	160,248	45,881	19,480	6,765	62,863	23,060	318,297
Segment Assets	<u>1,081,541</u>	<u>472,827</u>	<u>434,412</u>	<u>54,013</u>	<u>156,990</u>		
Cash and near cash assets ⁽³⁾							608,341
Total Assets							<u>2,851,724</u>

(1) Operating revenues includes \$144.2 million of tangible product sales and operating expenses includes \$143.3 million of costs of goods sold.

(2) Inventories includes raw materials of \$2.7 million and work in process of \$2.1 million.

(3) Cash and near cash assets includes cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, marketable securities, construction reserve funds and Title XI reserve funds.

[Table of Contents](#)

	Offshore Marine Services \$'000	Inland River Services \$'000	Shipping Services \$'000	Ethanol and Industrial Alcohol \$'000	Other \$'000	Corporate and Eliminations \$'000	Total \$'000
For the three months ended September 30, 2012							
Operating Revenues:							
External customers	134,296	53,293	45,157	47,813	58,296	—	338,855
Intersegment	26	—	—	—	40	(66)	—
	<u>134,322</u>	<u>53,293</u>	<u>45,157</u>	<u>47,813</u>	<u>58,336</u>	<u>(66)</u>	<u>338,855</u>
Costs and Expenses:							
Operating	88,842	38,320	28,089	45,472	53,287	(5)	254,005
Administrative and general	14,795	3,480	6,567	545	5,635	8,487	39,509
Depreciation and amortization	16,051	7,335	7,776	1,578	753	854	34,347
	<u>119,688</u>	<u>49,135</u>	<u>42,432</u>	<u>47,595</u>	<u>59,675</u>	<u>9,336</u>	<u>327,861</u>
Gains (Losses) on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, Net	6,585	3,503	145	—	(1,169)	—	9,064
Operating Income (Loss)	<u>21,219</u>	<u>7,661</u>	<u>2,870</u>	<u>218</u>	<u>(2,508)</u>	<u>(9,402)</u>	<u>20,058</u>
Other Income (Expense):							
Derivative losses, net	—	—	—	(1,035)	(838)	(157)	(2,030)
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	717	33	8	—	(25)	295	1,028
Other, net	—	—	7,145	—	—	(47)	7,098
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	1,238	(2,227)	(551)	—	243	—	(1,297)
Segment Profit (Loss)	<u>23,174</u>	<u>5,467</u>	<u>9,472</u>	<u>(817)</u>	<u>(3,128)</u>		
Other Income (Expense) not included in Segment Profit (Loss)							(7,916)
Less Equity Earnings (Losses) included in Segment Profit (Loss)							1,297
Income Before Taxes, Equity Earnings and Discontinued Operations							<u>18,238</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

	Offshore Marine Services \$'000	Inland River Services \$'000	Shipping Services \$'000	Ethanol and Industrial Alcohol ⁽¹⁾⁽²⁾ \$'000	Other \$'000	Corporate and Eliminations \$'000	Total \$'000
For the nine months ended September 30, 2012							
Operating Revenues:							
External customers	378,606	160,085	133,606	146,370	127,262	—	945,929
Intersegment	78	—	108	—	75	(261)	—
	<u>378,684</u>	<u>160,085</u>	<u>133,714</u>	<u>146,370</u>	<u>127,337</u>	<u>(261)</u>	<u>945,929</u>
Costs and Expenses:							
Operating	258,266	110,966	83,875	140,078	113,930	(146)	706,969
Administrative and general	39,797	11,235	16,939	1,404	16,332	25,094	110,801
Depreciation and amortization	44,792	21,586	22,755	4,208	2,153	1,775	97,269
	<u>342,855</u>	<u>143,787</u>	<u>123,569</u>	<u>145,690</u>	<u>132,415</u>	<u>26,723</u>	<u>915,039</u>
Gains (Losses) on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, Net	9,054	6,288	2,005	—	(1,164)	—	16,183
Operating Income (Loss)	<u>44,883</u>	<u>22,586</u>	<u>12,150</u>	<u>680</u>	<u>(6,242)</u>	<u>(26,984)</u>	<u>47,073</u>
Other Income (Expense):							
Derivative gains (losses), net	—	—	—	(1,756)	261	(939)	(2,434)
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	1,486	(60)	17	—	(10)	232	1,665
Other, net	11	—	7,432	—	—	14	7,457
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	4,068	(1,538)	(1,542)	6,154	(483)	—	6,659
Segment Profit (Loss)	<u>50,448</u>	<u>20,988</u>	<u>18,057</u>	<u>5,078</u>	<u>(6,474)</u>		
Other Income (Expense) not included in Segment Profit (Loss)							(3,086)
Less Equity Earnings (Losses) included in Segment Profit (Loss)							(6,659)
Income Before Taxes, Equity Earnings and Discontinued Operations							<u>50,675</u>
Capital Expenditures	<u>112,527</u>	<u>22,296</u>	<u>20,207</u>	<u>—</u>	<u>6,410</u>	<u>3,604</u>	<u>165,044</u>
As of September 30, 2012							
Property and Equipment:							
Historical cost	1,119,381	488,669	517,281	43,693	21,630	29,834	2,220,488
Accumulated depreciation	(412,625)	(120,995)	(195,494)	(4,151)	(3,943)	(8,295)	(745,503)
	<u>706,756</u>	<u>367,674</u>	<u>321,787</u>	<u>39,542</u>	<u>17,687</u>	<u>21,539</u>	<u>1,474,985</u>
Construction in progress	115,698	10,519	20,927	—	2,937	439	150,520
	<u>822,454</u>	<u>378,193</u>	<u>342,714</u>	<u>39,542</u>	<u>20,624</u>	<u>21,978</u>	<u>1,625,505</u>
Investments, at Equity, and Advances to 50% or Less Owned Companies	68,003	54,250	70,413	—	38,168	—	230,834
Inventories	6,249	2,435	1,533	8,810	3,329	—	22,356
Goodwill	13,367	4,345	1,852	—	37,138	—	56,702
Intangible Assets	4,557	7,996	1,544	114	5,720	—	19,931
Other current and long-term assets, excluding cash and near cash assets ⁽³⁾	155,387	50,049	16,390	7,382	92,019	43,618	364,845
Segment Assets	<u>1,070,017</u>	<u>497,268</u>	<u>434,446</u>	<u>55,848</u>	<u>196,998</u>		
Cash and near cash assets ⁽³⁾							591,916
Discontinued operations							1,005,147
Total Assets							<u>3,917,236</u>

(1) Operating revenues includes \$143.6 million of tangible product sales and operating expenses includes \$137.3 million of costs of goods sold.

(2) Inventories includes raw materials of \$3.6 million and work in process of \$1.9 million.

(3) Cash and near cash assets includes cash, cash equivalents, restricted cash, marketable securities, construction reserve funds and Title XI reserve funds.

14. DISCONTINUED OPERATIONS

Summarized selected operating results of the Company's discontinued operations were as follows (in thousands):

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
SES Business				
Operating Revenues	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ 22,387
Costs and Expenses:				
Operating	—	—	—	18,234
Administrative and general	—	—	—	4,587
Depreciation and amortization	—	—	—	1,428
	—	—	—	24,249
Losses on Asset Dispositions	—	—	—	(71)
Operating Loss	—	—	—	(1,933)
Other Income (Expense), Net (including gain on sale of business)	—	—	(1,537)	24,971
Income Tax (Expense) Benefit	—	—	538	(4,305)
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies	—	—	—	302
Net Income (Loss)	\$ —	\$ —	\$ (999)	\$ 19,035
SEI				
Operating Revenues	\$ —	\$ 76,296	\$ —	\$ 392,614
Costs and Expenses:				
Operating	—	71,789	—	382,589
Administrative and general	—	613	—	3,749
Depreciation and amortization	—	1	—	(3)
	—	72,403	—	386,335
Operating Income	—	3,893	—	6,279
Other Income (Expense), Net (including gain on sale of business)	—	(2,545)	(143)	(2,540)
Income Tax (Expense) Benefit	—	(608)	50	(1,711)
Net Income (Loss)	\$ —	\$ 740	\$ (93)	\$ 2,028
Era Group				
Operating Revenues	\$ —	\$ 77,989	\$ 22,892	\$ 202,026
Costs and Expenses:				
Operating	—	46,235	14,076	124,913
Administrative and general	—	10,338	2,653	27,210
Depreciation and amortization	—	10,937	3,875	31,031
	—	67,510	20,604	183,154
Gains on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, Net	—	613	548	3,455
Operating Income	—	11,092	2,836	22,327
Other Income (Expense), Net	—	(2,819)	(1,316)	(5,955)
Income Tax (Expense) ⁽¹⁾	—	(2,967)	(10,818)	(5,737)
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less Owned Companies	—	219	65	(5,444)
Net Income	\$ —	\$ 5,525	\$ (9,233)	\$ 5,191
Eliminations				
Operating Revenues	\$ —	\$ (31,082)	\$ —	\$ (86,206)
Costs and Expenses:				
Operating	—	(31,082)	—	(86,204)
Administrative and general	—	—	—	(2)
	—	(31,082)	—	(86,206)
Operating Income	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —	\$ —

(1) In late February 2014, the Company made a determination to provide for income taxes of \$10.1 million relating to the Spin-off of Era Group, effective January 31, 2103. As a result, the Company has restated its results from discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 in the table included herein (see Note 15).

15. RESTATEMENT

The restatement of the Company's unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements in this Amendment No. 1 to the Original Filing is solely related to an error in the accounting for taxes related to the Spin-off of Era Group, the principal effect of which is an increase in the net loss from discontinued operations for the nine months ended September 30, 2013 of \$10.1 million. The following table sets forth the effect of the restatement on each impacted financial statement line item (in thousands, except share data):

	<u>September 30, 2013</u>	<u>September 30, 2013</u>
	(As Restated)	(As Previously Reported)
Balance Sheet (unaudited):		
Other current liabilities	\$ 124,777	\$ 114,663
Total current liabilities	224,824	214,710
Total liabilities	1,470,991	1,460,877
Retained earnings	1,086,874	1,096,988
SEACOR Holdings Inc. stockholders' equity	1,355,491	1,365,605
Total equity	1,380,733	1,390,847

	<u>For the Nine Months Ended</u>	
	<u>September 30, 2013</u>	<u>September 30, 2013</u>
	(As Restated)	(As Previously Reported)
Statement of Income (unaudited):		
Loss from Discontinued Operations, Net of Tax	\$ (10,325)	\$ (211)
Net Income	28,704	38,818
Net Income attributable to SEACOR Holdings Inc.	28,574	38,688
Net Income (Loss) attributable to SEACOR Holdings Inc.:		
Continuing operations	\$ 38,799	\$ 38,799
Discontinued operations	(10,225)	(111)
	<u>\$ 28,574</u>	<u>\$ 38,688</u>
Basic Income (Loss) Per Common Share of SEACOR Holdings Inc.:		
Continuing operations	\$ 1.96	\$ 1.96
Discontinued operations	(0.52)	(0.01)
	<u>\$ 1.44</u>	<u>\$ 1.95</u>
Diluted Income (Loss) Per Common Share of SEACOR Holdings Inc.:		
Continuing operations	\$ 1.92	\$ 1.92
Discontinued operations	(0.51)	—
	<u>\$ 1.41</u>	<u>\$ 1.92</u>
Statement of Comprehensive Income (unaudited):		
Net Income	\$ 28,704	\$ 38,818
Comprehensive Income	28,916	39,030
Comprehensive Income attributable to SEACOR Holdings Inc.	28,806	38,920

ITEM 2. MANAGEMENT'S DISCUSSION AND ANALYSIS OF FINANCIAL CONDITION AND RESULTS OF OPERATIONS

This Form 10-Q includes "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Certain statements discussed in this release as well as in other reports, materials and oral statements that the Company releases from time to time to the public constitute "forward-looking statements" within the meaning of the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. Generally, words such as "anticipate," "estimate," "expect," "project," "intend," "believe," "plan," "target," "forecast" and similar expressions are intended to identify forward-looking statements. Such forward-looking statements concern management's expectations, strategic objectives, business prospects, anticipated economic performance and financial condition and other similar matters. These statements are not guarantees of future performance and actual events or results may differ significantly from these statements. Actual events or results are subject to significant known and unknown risks, uncertainties and other important factors, including decreased demand and loss of revenues as a result of U.S. government implemented moratoriums directing operators to cease certain drilling activities and any extension of such moratoriums (the "Moratoriums"), weakening demand for the Company's services as a result of unplanned customer suspensions, cancellations, rate reductions or non-renewals of vessel charters or failures to finalize commitments to charter vessels in response to Moratoriums, increased government legislation and regulation of the Company's businesses could increase cost of operations, increased competition if the Jones Act is repealed, liability, legal fees and costs in connection with the provision of emergency response services, including the Company's involvement in response to the oil spill as a result of the sinking of the Deepwater Horizon in April 2010, decreased demand for the Company's services as a result of declines in the global economy, declines in valuations in the global financial markets and a lack of liquidity in the credit sectors, including, interest rate fluctuations, availability of credit, inflation rates, change in laws, trade barriers, commodity prices and currency exchange fluctuations, the cyclical nature of the oil and gas industry, activity in foreign countries and changes in foreign political, military and economic conditions, changes in foreign and domestic oil and gas exploration and production activity, safety record requirements related to Offshore Marine Services and Shipping Services, decreased demand for Shipping Services due to construction of additional refined petroleum product, natural gas or crude oil pipelines or due to decreased demand for refined petroleum products, crude oil or chemical products or a change in existing methods of delivery, compliance with U.S. and foreign government laws and regulations, including environmental laws and regulations, the dependence of Offshore Marine Services and Shipping Services on several customers, consolidation of the Company's customer base, the ongoing need to replace aging vessels, industry fleet capacity, restrictions imposed by the Shipping Acts on the amount of foreign ownership of the Company's Common Stock, operational risks of Offshore Marine Services, Inland River Services and Shipping Services, effects of adverse weather conditions and seasonality, the level of grain export volume, the effect of fuel prices on barge towing costs, variability in freight rates for inland river barges, the effect of international economic and political factors in Inland River Services' operations, sudden and unexpected changes in commodity prices, futures and options, global weather conditions, political instability, changes in currency exchanges rates, and product availability in agriculture commodity trading and logistics activities, adequacy of insurance coverage, the existence of a material weakness in the Company's internal controls over financial reporting and the Company's ability to remediate such material weakness, the attraction and retention of qualified personnel by the Company, and various other matters and factors, many of which are beyond the Company's control as well as those discussed in Item 1A (Risk Factors) of the Company's Annual report on Form 10-K. In addition, these statements constitute the Company's cautionary statements under the Private Securities Litigation Reform Act of 1995. It should be understood that it is not possible to predict or identify all such factors. Consequently, the preceding should not be considered to be a complete discussion of all potential risks or uncertainties. Forward-looking statements speak only as of the date of the document in which they are made. The Company disclaims any obligation or undertaking to provide any updates or revisions to any forward-looking statement to reflect any change in the Company's expectations or any change in events, conditions or circumstances on which the forward-looking statement is based, except as required by law. The forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q should be evaluated together with the many uncertainties that affect the Company's businesses, particularly those mentioned under "Forward-Looking Statements" in Item 7 on the Company's Form 10-K and SEACOR's periodic reporting on Form 8-K (if any), which are incorporated by reference.

Overview

The Company's operations are divided into four main business segments – Offshore Marine Services, Inland River Services, Shipping Services, and Ethanol and Industrial Alcohol. The Company also has activities that are referred to and described under Other that primarily includes Emergency and Crisis Services, Agricultural Commodity Trading and Logistics, various other investments in 50% or less owned companies and lending and leasing activities.

Discontinued Operations. On January 31, 2013, the Company completed the spin-off ("Spin-off") of Era Group Inc. ("Era Group"), the company that operated SEACOR's Aviation Services business segment, by means of a dividend to SEACOR's stockholders of all the issued and outstanding common stock of Era Group. Era Group filed a Registration Statement on Form 10 with the Securities and Exchange Commission, describing the Spin-off, that was declared effective on January 14, 2013. Era Group is now an independent company whose common stock is listed on the New York Stock Exchange under the symbol "ERA." Discontinued operations includes the historical financial position, results of operations and cash flows of Era Group as well as the

[Table of Contents](#)

operations previously reported as discontinued in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company provided for income taxes of \$10.1 million relating to the Spin-off of Era Group.

Consolidated Results of Operations

The sections below provide an analysis of the Company's operations by business segment for the three months ("Current Year Quarter") and nine months ("Current Nine Months") ended September 30, 2013, compared with the three months ("Prior Year Quarter") and nine months ("Prior Nine Months") ended September 30, 2012. See "Item 1. Financial Statements—Note 13. Segment Information" included in Part I for consolidating segment tables for each period presented.

Offshore Marine Services

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:								
United States, primarily U.S. Gulf of Mexico	75,356	48	60,408	45	205,803	49	161,563	43
Africa, primarily West Africa	17,259	11	16,353	12	48,636	12	49,626	13
Middle East	13,383	9	12,489	9	37,683	9	37,559	10
Brazil, Mexico, Central and South America	14,663	9	11,236	9	35,167	8	41,056	11
Europe, primarily North Sea	26,498	17	26,999	20	74,694	18	76,673	20
Asia	9,039	6	6,837	5	16,909	4	12,207	3
	<u>156,198</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>134,322</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>418,892</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>378,684</u>	<u>100</u>
Costs and Expenses:								
Operating:								
Personnel	50,753	33	46,798	35	141,494	34	130,453	35
Repairs and maintenance	11,562	7	14,069	11	37,711	9	39,204	10
Drydocking	9,017	6	4,343	3	35,045	8	20,482	6
Insurance and loss reserves	4,421	3	4,505	3	12,211	3	12,701	3
Fuel, lubes and supplies	7,883	5	8,386	6	22,289	5	22,156	6
Leased-in equipment	6,714	4	5,458	4	20,225	5	16,341	4
Brokered vessel activity	46	—	53	—	46	—	585	—
Other	4,717	3	5,230	4	13,704	3	16,344	4
	<u>95,113</u>	<u>61</u>	<u>88,842</u>	<u>66</u>	<u>282,725</u>	<u>67</u>	<u>258,266</u>	<u>68</u>
Administrative and general	14,132	9	14,795	11	43,194	10	39,797	11
Depreciation and amortization	16,470	10	16,051	12	49,217	12	44,792	12
	<u>125,715</u>	<u>80</u>	<u>119,688</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>375,136</u>	<u>89</u>	<u>342,855</u>	<u>91</u>
Gains on Asset Dispositions	15,343	10	6,585	5	25,577	6	9,054	2
Operating Income	<u>45,826</u>	<u>30</u>	<u>21,219</u>	<u>16</u>	<u>69,333</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>44,883</u>	<u>11</u>
Other Income (Expense):								
Derivative income, net	32	—	—	—	357	—	—	—
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	1,937	1	717	—	(2,160)	(1)	1,486	—
Other, net	—	—	—	—	11	—	11	—
Equity in Earnings of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	1,527	1	1,238	1	10,534	3	4,068	1
Segment Profit	<u>49,322</u>	<u>32</u>	<u>23,174</u>	<u>17</u>	<u>78,075</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>50,448</u>	<u>12</u>

Operating Revenues by Type. The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, the amount of operating revenues earned by type.

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:								
Time charter:								
United States, primarily U.S. Gulf of Mexico	71,810	46	56,535	42	196,175	47	151,657	40
Africa, primarily West Africa	16,957	11	16,122	12	46,800	11	48,291	13
Middle East	11,447	7	10,796	8	33,010	8	32,152	9
Brazil, Mexico, Central and South America	12,942	8	9,625	7	29,166	7	35,256	9
Europe, primarily North Sea	25,750	17	26,927	20	73,375	18	76,208	20
Asia	7,741	5	6,439	5	14,753	3	11,731	3

Total time charter	<u>146,647</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>126,444</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>393,279</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>355,295</u>	<u>94</u>
Bareboat charter	901	1	867	1	2,665	1	2,273	1
Brokered vessel activity	—	—	55	—	(1)	—	543	—
Other marine services	<u>8,650</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>6,956</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>22,949</u>	<u>5</u>	<u>20,573</u>	<u>5</u>
	<u>156,198</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>134,322</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>418,892</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>378,684</u>	<u>100</u>

[Table of Contents](#)

Time Charter Operating Data. The table below sets forth the average rates per day worked, utilization and available days data for each group of Offshore Marine Services' vessels operating under time charters for the periods indicated. The rate per day worked is the ratio of total time charter revenues to the aggregate number of days worked. Utilization is the ratio of aggregate number of days worked to total calendar days available for work. Available days represents the total calendar days during which owned and chartered-in vessels are operated by the Company.

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
Rates Per Day Worked:				
Anchor handling towing supply	\$ 29,008	\$ 22,794	\$ 26,460	\$ 26,526
Crew	8,553	7,267	7,960	7,392
Mini-supply	8,048	7,735	7,817	7,516
Standby safety	9,922	9,806	9,729	9,572
Supply	17,541	16,567	16,469	15,904
Towing supply	10,970	8,265	9,809	9,062
Specialty	37,121	26,195	27,477	18,146
Overall Average Rates Per Day Worked (excluding liftboats and wind farm utility)	14,128	11,511	12,871	12,001
Liftboats	25,001	19,830	22,098	18,738
Overall Average Rates Per Day Worked (excluding wind farm utility)	15,677	12,718	14,055	12,639
Wind farm utility	2,315	2,882	2,260	2,717
Overall Average Rates Per Day Worked	12,454	10,552	11,390	10,460
Utilization:				
Anchor handling towing supply	75%	57%	74%	66%
Crew	88%	94%	90%	86%
Mini-supply	96%	88%	88%	94%
Standby safety	88%	89%	87%	88%
Supply	75%	77%	76%	79%
Towing supply	83%	54%	87%	50%
Specialty	58%	59%	45%	55%
Overall Fleet Utilization (excluding liftboats and wind farm utility)	83%	82%	83%	80%
Liftboats	82%	82%	72%	76%
Overall Fleet Utilization (excluding wind farm utility)	83%	82%	81%	80%
Wind farm utility	95%	96%	90%	92%
Overall Fleet Utilization	86%	85%	83%	82%
Available Days:				
Anchor handling towing supply	1,564	1,564	4,641	4,658
Crew	2,844	3,233	8,961	9,872
Mini-supply	552	644	1,747	1,918
Standby safety	2,208	2,208	6,552	6,678
Supply	1,564	1,631	4,683	4,985
Towing supply	184	184	546	908
Specialty	327	276	1,051	822
Overall Fleet Available Days (excluding liftboats and wind farm utility)	9,243	9,740	28,181	29,841
Liftboats	1,543	1,656	4,777	3,312
Overall Fleet Available Days (excluding wind farm utility)	10,786	11,396	32,958	33,153
Wind farm utility	2,978	2,760	8,657	8,137
Overall Fleet Available Days	13,764	14,156	41,615	41,290

Current Year Quarter compared with Prior Year Quarter

Operating Revenues. Operating revenues were \$21.9 million higher in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Time charter revenues were \$20.2 million higher in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Excluding the contribution of the wind farm utility vessels, overall fleet utilization was 83% compared with 82% in the Prior Year Quarter and average rates were \$15,677 per day compared with \$12,718 per day in the Prior Year Quarter. The number of days available for charter was 10,786 compared with 11,396 in the Prior Year Quarter, a 610 day or 5% reduction.

In the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, time charter revenues were \$15.3 million higher, of which \$12.0 million was due to higher average day rates. Net fleet additions and the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions increased time charter revenues by \$3.7 million and \$1.3 million respectively. Lower utilization decreased time charter revenues by \$1.7 million. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had no vessels cold-stacked in this region compared with three as of September 30, 2012.

In Africa, time charter revenues were \$0.8 million higher, of which \$0.1 million was due to improved utilization and \$0.7 million was due to higher average day rates.

In the Middle East, time charter revenues were \$0.7 million higher. Time charter revenues were \$1.0 million higher due to improved utilization and \$0.1 million higher due to higher average day rates. The repositioning of vessels between geographic regions and fleet dispositions decreased time charter revenues by \$0.3 million and \$0.1 million, respectively.

In Brazil, Mexico, Central and South America, time charter revenues were \$3.3 million higher. Higher average day rates, fleet additions, and vessels that were repositioned into the region increased time charter revenues by \$0.3 million, \$2.2 million and \$3.3 million, respectively. Lower utilization decreased time charter revenues by \$2.5 million.

In Europe, excluding the wind farm utility vessels, time charter revenues were \$0.2 million lower. Higher average day rates increased time charter revenues by \$0.6 million. Lower utilization and unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates decreased time charter revenues by \$0.4 million and \$0.4 million, respectively. Time charter revenues for the wind farm utility vessels were \$1.0 million lower. Lower average day rates, lower utilization and unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates decreased time charter revenues by \$1.3 million, \$0.1 million and \$0.1 million, respectively. These decreases were partially offset by fleet acquisitions, which increased time charter revenues by \$0.5 million.

In Asia, time charter revenues were \$1.3 million higher. Time charter revenues were \$1.7 million higher due to higher average day rates and \$0.4 million lower due to a reduction in utilization.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$6.3 million higher in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Personnel costs were \$4.0 million higher primarily due to the recognition in the Current Year Quarter of a \$2.7 million charge for the Company's share of a funding deficit arising from the March 2012 actuarial valuation of the United Kingdom Merchant Navy Officers' Pension Fund. Routine repairs and maintenance expenditure was \$2.5 million lower primarily due to reduced expenditure in Africa, Europe and the Middle East. Drydocking expenses were \$4.7 million higher primarily due to an increase in drydocking activity in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and Europe. Fuel, lube and supply expenses were \$0.5 million lower primarily due to a decrease in fuel consumption related to vessel repositioning between geographic regions. Leased-in equipment expense was \$1.3 million higher primarily due to the expiration of amortized deferred gains upon the extension of leases for vessels previously sold and leased back.

Gains on Asset Dispositions. During the Current Year Quarter, the Company sold six offshore support vessels and other equipment for net proceeds of \$42.2 million and gains of \$15.3 million. During the Prior Year Quarter, the Company sold equipment for net proceeds of \$1.5 million and gains of \$1.5 million, and recognized previously deferred gains of \$5.1 million.

Operating Income. Excluding the impact of gains on asset dispositions and the impact of brokered vessel activity, operating income as a percentage of operating revenues was 20% in the Current Year Quarter compared with 11% in the Prior Year Quarter. The increase was primarily due to the improvement in operating revenues in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico and Brazil, Mexico and Central and South America, as noted above.

Current Nine Months compared with Prior Nine Months

Operating Revenues. Operating revenues were \$40.2 million higher in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months. Time charter revenues were \$38.0 million higher in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months. The Current Nine Months results included a full nine months contribution from the Company's liftboat fleet that was acquired on March 30, 2012. During the first quarter of the Current Year, the liftboats contributed \$20.8 million of operating revenues, of which \$19.3 million was time charter revenues with an average day rate of \$18,573 per day and a utilization rate of 64%.

Excluding the contribution of the wind farm utility vessels, overall fleet utilization was 81% compared with 80% in the Prior Nine Months and average rates were \$14,055 per day compared with \$12,639 per day in the Prior Nine Months. The number of days available for charter was 32,958 compared with 33,153 in the Prior Nine Months, a 195 day or 1% reduction.

[Table of Contents](#)

In the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, time charter revenues were \$44.5 million higher, of which \$19.3 million was due to the contribution of the liftboat fleet during the first quarter of the Current Year. Time charter revenues were \$0.2 million higher due to improved utilization, \$18.4 million higher due to an increase in average day rates, and \$13.0 million higher due to the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions. Time charter revenues were \$5.5 million lower due to the net effect of cold-stacking vessels, primarily two anchor handling towing supply vessels, and 0.9 million lower due to net fleet dispositions and other changes in fleet mix. As of September 30, 2013, the Company had no vessels cold-stacked in this region compared with three as of September 30, 2012.

In Africa, time charter revenues were \$1.5 million lower, of which \$1.3 million was due to reduced utilization and \$0.2 million was due to a decrease in average day rates.

In the Middle East, time charter revenues were \$0.9 million higher. Time charter revenues were \$0.7 million higher due to improved utilization and \$0.5 million higher due to higher average day rates. The repositioning of vessels between geographic regions decreased time charter revenues by \$0.3 million.

In Brazil, Mexico, Central and South America, time charter revenues were \$6.1 million lower. Time charter revenues were \$5.7 million lower due to lower utilization and \$4.4 million lower due to the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions. Higher average day rates and net fleet additions increased time charter revenues by \$0.6 million and \$3.4 million respectively.

In Europe, excluding the wind farm utility vessels, time charter revenues were \$0.2 million lower. Time charter revenues were \$1.9 million higher due to increased average day rates. Fleet dispositions, reduced utilization and unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates reduced time charter revenues by \$0.7 million, \$0.2 million and \$1.2 million, respectively. Time charter revenues for the wind farm utility vessels were \$2.6 million lower. Lower average day rates, lower utilization and unfavorable changes in currency exchange rates decreased time charter revenues by \$2.9 million, \$0.4 million and \$0.3 million, respectively. These decreases were partially offset by fleet acquisitions, which increased time charter revenues by \$1.0 million.

In Asia, time charter revenues were \$3.0 million higher, of which \$0.9 million was due to improved utilization and \$2.1 million was due to higher average day rates.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$24.5 million higher in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months, of which \$16.4 million was attributable to the liftboat fleet during the first quarter of the Current Year.

Excluding the impact of the liftboat fleet during the first quarter of the current year, operating expenses were \$8.1 million higher during the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months. Personnel expenses were \$4.4 million higher primarily due to the recognition in the Current Nine Months of a \$2.7 million charge for the Company's share of a funding deficit arising from the March 2012 actuarial valuation of the United Kingdom Merchant Navy Officers' Pension Fund, and increased seafarer compensation costs. Repair and maintenance expenses were \$3.8 million lower primarily due to reduced expenditure in Europe and the Middle East. Drydocking expenses were \$9.7 million higher primarily due to an increase in drydocking activity in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico, Africa and Europe. Insurance and loss reserves expense was \$1.8 million lower, primarily due to net fleet dispositions and lower loss reserves. Fuel, lube and supply expenses were \$1.0 million lower primarily due to a decrease in fuel consumption related to vessel repositioning between geographic regions. Leased-in equipment expense was \$3.9 million higher primarily due to the expiration of amortized deferred gains upon the extension of leases for vessels previously sold and leased back. Other operating expenses were \$2.8 million lower primarily due to the repositioning of vessels between geographic regions.

Administrative and General. Administrative and general expenses were \$3.4 million higher in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months, of which \$1.1 million was attributable to the liftboat business and \$1.1 million was related to higher wage and benefit costs.

Depreciation and Amortization. Depreciation and amortization expenses were \$4.4 million higher in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months primarily due to the acquisition of the liftboat fleet on March 30, 2012.

Gains on Asset Dispositions. During the Current Nine Months, the Company sold 14 offshore support vessels and other equipment for net proceeds of \$117.4 million and gains of \$25.5 million and recognized previously deferred gains of \$0.1 million. During the Prior Nine Months, the Company sold four offshore support vessels and other equipment for net proceeds of \$52.1 million and gains of \$10.6 million, of which \$3.3 million were recognized currently and \$7.3 million were deferred. In addition, during the Prior Nine Months the Company recognized previously deferred gains of \$5.7 million.

Operating Income. Excluding the impact of gains on asset dispositions and the impact of brokered vessel activity, operating income as a percentage of operating revenues was 11% in the Current Nine Months compared with 9% in the Prior Nine Months. The increase was primarily due to improved results in the U.S. Gulf of Mexico.

[Table of Contents](#)

Equity in Earnings of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax. Equity in earnings of 50% or less owned companies, net of tax, increased by \$6.5 million in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months. During the Current Nine Months, the Company acquired a 100% controlling interest in its C-Lift 50% or less owned company through the acquisition of its partner's 50% interest and recognized a \$4.2 million gain, net of tax, included in equity in earnings of 50% or less owned companies upon marking its investment to fair value. In addition, during the Current Nine Months, equity in earnings, net of tax, in the Company's Mexican 50% or less owned company increased by \$2.8 million.

Fleet Count

The composition of Offshore Marine Services' fleet as of September 30 was as follows:

	Owned	Joint Ventured	Leased-in	Pooled or Managed	Total
2013					
Anchor handling towing supply	14	1	3	—	18
Crew	26	7	7	3	43
Mini-supply	4	2	2	—	8
Standby safety	24	1	—	—	25
Supply	9	4	9	4	26
Towing supply	2	1	—	—	3
Liftboats	15	—	—	—	15
Specialty	3	5	—	4	12
Wind farm utility	32	—	1	—	33
	<u>129</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>183</u>
2012					
Anchor handling towing supply	14	2	3	—	19
Crew	31	7	7	3	48
Mini-supply	5	2	2	—	9
Standby safety	24	1	—	—	25
Supply	10	2	9	4	25
Towing supply	2	1	—	—	3
Liftboats ⁽¹⁾	18	2	—	—	20
Specialty	3	3	—	3	9
Wind farm utility	29	—	1	—	30
	<u>136</u>	<u>20</u>	<u>22</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>188</u>

(1) On March 30, 2012, Offshore Marine Services acquired 18 liftboats, real property and working capital from Superior Energy Inc. for \$142.5 million.

Inland River Services

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:								
United States	52,464	99	52,879	99	149,010	99	159,256	99
Foreign	278	1	414	1	1,166	1	829	1
	<u>52,742</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>53,293</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>150,176</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>160,085</u>	<u>100</u>
Costs and Expenses:								
Operating:								
Barge logistics	20,869	40	21,514	40	57,387	38	64,791	40
Personnel	5,949	11	5,619	11	17,781	12	16,554	10
Repairs and maintenance	1,678	3	2,099	4	5,447	4	4,763	3
Insurance and loss reserves	880	2	1,122	2	2,727	2	2,680	2
Fuel, lubes and supplies	1,243	2	1,525	3	4,279	3	4,747	3
Leased-in equipment	4,260	8	3,057	6	11,455	8	9,210	6
Other	3,594	7	3,384	6	10,979	7	8,221	5
	<u>38,473</u>	<u>73</u>	<u>38,320</u>	<u>72</u>	<u>110,055</u>	<u>74</u>	<u>110,966</u>	<u>69</u>
Administrative and general	3,431	6	3,480	6	11,376	7	11,235	7
Depreciation and amortization	6,869	13	7,335	14	21,031	14	21,586	14
	<u>48,773</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>49,135</u>	<u>92</u>	<u>142,462</u>	<u>95</u>	<u>143,787</u>	<u>90</u>
Gains on Asset Dispositions	783	1	3,503	6	5,776	4	6,288	4
Operating Income	<u>4,752</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>7,661</u>	<u>14</u>	<u>13,490</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>22,586</u>	<u>14</u>
Other Income (Expense):								
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	(89)	—	33	—	(7)	—	(60)	—
Equity in Earnings (Losses) of 50% or Less								
Owned Companies, Net of Tax	80	—	(2,227)	(4)	(2,306)	(2)	(1,538)	(1)
Segment Profit	<u>4,743</u>	<u>9</u>	<u>5,467</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>11,177</u>	<u>7</u>	<u>20,988</u>	<u>13</u>

Operating Revenues by Service Line. The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, operating revenues earned by service line.

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:								
Dry cargo barge pools	22,952	44	25,438	48	61,884	41	76,190	48
Charter-out of dry cargo barges	1,466	3	1,817	3	4,418	3	5,959	4
Liquid unit tow operations	10,612	20	9,431	18	31,744	21	27,914	17
10,000 barrel liquid tank barge operations	6,071	11	4,466	8	17,756	12	14,854	9
Terminal operations	4,734	9	5,204	10	14,022	9	15,658	10
Fleeting operations	4,911	9	5,064	9	14,894	10	14,394	9
Inland river towboat operations and other activities	4,692	9	4,719	9	14,748	10	14,042	9
Inland river eliminations	(2,696)	(5)	(2,846)	(5)	(9,290)	(6)	(8,926)	(6)
	<u>52,742</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>53,293</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>150,176</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>160,085</u>	<u>100</u>

Dry Cargo Barge Pools Operating Data. The following table presents, for the periods indicated, Inland River Services' interest in tons moved and its available barge days in the dry cargo barge pools. Available barge days represents the total calendar days during which the Company's owned and chartered-in barges were in the pool.

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	Tons	%	Tons	%	Tons	%	Tons	%
Tons Moved (in thousands):								
Grain	1,081	70	1,078	71	2,428	60	2,843	66
Non-Grain	456	30	438	29	1,622	40	1,470	34
	<u>1,537</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>1,516</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>4,050</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>4,313</u>	<u>100</u>
	<u>Days</u>		<u>Days</u>		<u>Days</u>		<u>Days</u>	
Available barge days	<u>52,015</u>		<u>53,066</u>		<u>156,030</u>		<u>155,360</u>	

Current Year Quarter compared with Prior Year Quarter

Operating Revenues. Operating revenues were \$0.6 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Operating revenues were \$2.5 million lower for the dry cargo barge pools primarily due to lower freight rates. Operating revenues were \$0.4 million lower for the charter-out of dry cargo barges primarily due to dry cargo barges coming off charter and being placed into the dry cargo barge pools. Operating revenues were \$1.2 million higher and \$1.6 million higher for the liquid unit tow and 10,000 barrel liquid tank barge operations, respectively, primarily due to higher demand and the placement of additional equipment in service. Operating revenues were \$0.5 million lower for terminal operations primarily due to reduced throughput at the Company's high-speed multi-modal liquid terminal following its conversion to accommodate crude oil transfer and storage.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$0.2 million higher in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter primarily due to increased activity in the liquid unit tow operations.

Gains on Asset Dispositions. During the Current Year Quarter, the Company sold equipment for net proceeds of \$0.1 million and gains of \$0.1 million and recognized previously deferred gains of \$0.7 million. During the Prior Year Quarter, the Company sold nine inland river dry cargo barges, one towboat and other equipment for net proceeds of \$4.3 million and gains of \$2.8 million. In addition, the Company recognized previously deferred gains of \$0.7 million.

Operating Income. Excluding the impact of gains on asset dispositions, operating income as a percentage of operating revenues was 8% in the Current Year Quarter and Prior Year Quarter.

Equity in Losses of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax. During the Prior Year Quarter, the Company recognized \$2.2 million of equity in losses of 50% or less owned companies, net of tax, primarily from its Argentinian 50% or less owned company as a result of difficult operating conditions and provisions for uncertain insurance recoveries.

Current Nine Months compared with Prior Nine Months

Operating Revenues. Operating revenues were \$9.9 million lower in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months. Operating revenues were \$14.3 million lower for the dry cargo barge pools primarily due to weaker demand for barge freight in the Current Nine Months as a result of drought conditions throughout 2012 that impacted crop yields. Operating revenues were \$1.5 million lower for the charter-out of dry cargo barges primarily due to dry cargo barges coming off charter and being placed into the dry cargo barge pool. Operating revenues were \$3.8 million higher and \$2.9 million higher for the liquid unit tow and 10,000 barrel liquid tank barge operations, respectively, primarily due to higher demand and the placement of additional equipment in service. Operating revenues were \$1.6 million lower for terminal operations primarily due to reduced throughput at the Company's high-speed multi-modal liquid terminal following its conversion to accommodate crude oil transfer and storage.

Operating Expenses. Operating expenses were \$1.0 million lower in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months primarily due to lower barge logistics expenses as a result of the decreased activity in the dry cargo barge pools partially offset by higher repair and maintenance expenses and higher leased-in equipment expenses due to additional equipment for liquid unit tow operations. Other expenses were higher primarily due to costs associated with regulatory inspections for certain liquid unit tow equipment.

Gains on Asset Dispositions. During the Current Nine Months, the Company sold 16 inland river dry cargo barges and eight inland river 30,000 barrel liquid tank barges and other equipment for proceeds of \$27.4 million and gains of \$6.0 million, of which \$3.7 million were recognized currently and \$2.4 million were deferred. In addition, the Company recognized previously deferred gains of \$2.1 million.

[Table of Contents](#)

During the Prior Year Nine Months, the Company sold nine dry cargo barges, two towboats and other equipment for net proceeds of \$9.5 million and gains of \$4.2 million. In addition, the Company recognized previously deferred gains of \$2.1million.

Operating Income. Excluding the impact of gains on asset dispositions, operating income as a percentage of operating revenues was 5% in the Current Nine Months compared with 10% in the Prior Nine Months. The decrease in the Current Nine Months was primarily due to the reductions in operating revenues for the dry cargo barge pools and terminal operations partially offset by improved results from liquid unit tow operations.

Equity in Losses of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax. During the Current Nine Months, the Company recognized equity in losses of 50% or less owned companies of \$2.3 million, net of tax, primarily due to losses from the Company's grain terminal 50% or less owned company as a result of start-up costs in the first part of the year and lower than anticipated volume associated with the drought of 2012. During the Prior Nine Months, the Company recognized \$1.5 million of equity in losses of 50% or less owned companies, net of tax, primarily from its Argentinian 50% or less owned company as a result of difficult operating conditions and provisions for uncertain insurance recoveries.

Fleet Count

The composition of Inland River Services' fleet as of September 30 was as follows:

	Owned	Joint Ventured	Leased-in	Pooled or Managed	Total
2013					
Inland river dry cargo barges	667	172	2	568	1,409
Inland river liquid tank barges	65	—	8	2	75
Inland river deck barges	20	—	—	—	20
Inland river towboats:					
4,000 hp - 6,250 hp	3	13	—	—	16
3,300 hp - 3,900 hp	1	—	—	—	1
Less than 3,200 hp	12	2	—	—	14
Dry cargo vessel ⁽¹⁾	—	1	—	—	1
	<u>768</u>	<u>188</u>	<u>10</u>	<u>570</u>	<u>1,536</u>
2012					
Inland river dry cargo barges	683	172	2	587	1,444
Inland river liquid tank barges	73	—	—	7	80
Inland river deck barges	20	—	—	—	20
Inland river towboats:					
4,000 hp - 6,250 hp	3	13	—	—	16
3,300 hp - 3,900 hp	1	—	—	—	1
Less than 3,200 hp	12	2	—	—	14
Dry cargo vessel ⁽¹⁾	—	1	—	—	1
	<u>792</u>	<u>188</u>	<u>2</u>	<u>594</u>	<u>1,576</u>

(1) Argentine-flag.

Shipping Services

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:								
United States	41,259	86	33,475	74	120,228	84	98,820	74
Foreign	6,941	14	11,682	26	22,551	16	34,894	26
	<u>48,200</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>45,157</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>142,779</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>133,714</u>	<u>100</u>
Costs and Expenses:								
Operating:								
Personnel	8,694	18	8,284	18	25,729	18	24,489	18
Repairs and maintenance	2,461	5	2,615	6	7,476	5	8,730	7
Drydocking	2,846	6	2,368	5	8,309	6	4,868	4
Insurance and loss reserves	1,161	2	809	2	2,899	2	3,183	2
Fuel, lubes and supplies	3,982	8	4,192	9	12,652	9	13,078	10
Leased-in equipment	4,454	9	4,641	10	13,706	10	13,780	10
Other	4,617	10	5,180	12	13,612	9	15,747	12
	<u>28,215</u>	<u>58</u>	<u>28,089</u>	<u>62</u>	<u>84,383</u>	<u>59</u>	<u>83,875</u>	<u>63</u>
Administrative and general	5,133	11	6,567	15	16,434	12	16,939	13
Depreciation and amortization	7,841	16	7,776	17	23,545	17	22,755	17
	<u>41,189</u>	<u>85</u>	<u>42,432</u>	<u>94</u>	<u>124,362</u>	<u>88</u>	<u>123,569</u>	<u>93</u>
Gains on Asset Dispositions and Impairments, Net	3,104	6	145	—	149	—	2,005	2
Operating Income	<u>10,115</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>2,870</u>	<u>6</u>	<u>18,566</u>	<u>12</u>	<u>12,150</u>	<u>9</u>
Other Income (Expense):								
Foreign currency gains (losses), net	6	—	8	—	(9)	—	17	—
Other, net	540	1	7,145	16	742	1	7,432	6
Equity in Losses of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	<u>(1,413)</u>	<u>(3)</u>	<u>(551)</u>	<u>(1)</u>	<u>(3,321)</u>	<u>(2)</u>	<u>(1,542)</u>	<u>(1)</u>
Segment Profit	<u>9,248</u>	<u>19</u>	<u>9,472</u>	<u>21</u>	<u>15,978</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>18,057</u>	<u>14</u>

Operating Revenues by Line of Service. The table below sets forth, for the periods indicated, the amount of operating revenues earned from charter arrangements.

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:								
Petroleum Transportation:								
Time charter	13,271	28	9,883	22	34,267	24	27,683	21
Bareboat charter	8,744	18	8,744	19	25,946	18	26,041	19
Harbor towing and bunkering	17,971	37	17,891	40	56,195	40	54,548	41
Short-sea and liner transportation	8,094	17	8,533	19	26,016	18	25,133	19
Technical management services	120	—	106	—	355	—	309	—
	<u>48,200</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>45,157</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>142,779</u>	<u>100</u>	<u>133,714</u>	<u>100</u>

Operating Revenues. Operating Revenues were \$3.0 million higher in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter. Operating revenues from petroleum transportation were \$3.4 million higher primarily due to an increase in time charter rates for three of the Company's U.S.-flag product tankers partially offset by a \$0.4 million reduction in short-sea and liner transportation operating revenues primarily due to lower cargo shipping demand.

Operating Revenues were \$9.1 million higher in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months. Operating revenues were \$6.5 million higher for petroleum transportation primarily due to an increase in time charter rates for three of the company's U.S.-flag product tankers. Operating revenues were \$1.7 million higher for harbor towing and bunkering primarily due to an increase in harbor traffic and were \$0.9 million higher for short-sea and liner transportation primarily due to higher cargo shipping demand.

Operating Expenses. Operating Expenses were \$0.1 million higher in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter and \$0.5 million higher in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months. The increases in both periods were primarily due to scheduled union pay rate changes and higher drydocking costs for the Company's U.S.-flag product tankers. Fuel, lube and supplies for short-sea and liner transportation were lower in both periods primarily due to operating one less vessel. Other operating expenses for short-sea and liner transportation were lower in both periods primarily due to the reduction in stevedoring expenses.

Administrative and General. Administrative and General expenses were \$1.4 million lower in the Current Year Quarter compared with the Prior Year Quarter and \$0.5 million lower in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months primarily due to a legal settlement and associated fees in the Prior Year Quarter, partially offset by higher wage and benefit costs.

Gains on Asset Dispositions. During the Current Year Quarter, the Company sold six U.S.-flag harbor tugs, five of which were leased back, for net proceeds of \$40.0 million and gains of \$15.4 million, of which \$3.1 million were recognized currently and \$12.3 million were deferred. In addition, the Company recognized impairment charges of \$3.0 million during the Current Nine Months related to two of these U.S.-flag harbor tugs while under construction, which were sold and leased back upon their completion.

During the Prior Nine Months, the Company sold two U.S.-flag harbor tugs, one RORO vessel and other equipment for proceeds of \$6.6 million and gains of \$2.0 million.

Operating Income. Excluding the impact of gains on asset dispositions, operating income as a percentage of operating revenues was 15% in the Current Year Quarter compared with 6% in the Prior Year Quarter and was 12% in the Current Nine Months compared with 7% in the Prior Nine Months. The increase in both periods was primarily due to the improvement in operating revenues as discussed above.

Other, net. Other, net in the Prior Year Quarter and Prior Nine Months consists primarily of a \$7.0 million termination payment from a customer following the cancellation of a long-term charter.

Equity in Losses of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax. Equity in losses in all periods reflects losses incurred by the Company's Jones Act liner transportation 50% or less owned company, partially offset by earnings from a 50% or less owned company that began operating a U.S.-flag articulated tug-barge on the Great Lakes in April 2012. Equity in losses of 50% or less owned companies in the Current Year Quarter also included losses in the Company's very large gas carrier 50% or less owned company primarily due to out-of-service time for drydocking and drydocking expenses.

[Table of Contents](#)**Fleet Count**

The composition of Shipping Services' fleet as of September 30 was as follows:

	Owned	Joint Ventured	Leased-in	Total
2013				
U.S.-flag:				
Product tankers ⁽¹⁾	5	—	2	7
RORO/deck barges	—	7	—	7
Dry bulk articulated tug-barge	—	1	—	1
Harbor tugs	15	—	9	24
Ocean liquid tank barges	5	—	—	5
Foreign-flag:				
Harbor tugs	4	—	—	4
Very large gas carriers	—	3	—	3
Short Sea Container/RORO	7	—	—	7
	<u>36</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>11</u>	<u>58</u>
2012				
U.S.-flag:				
Product tankers ⁽¹⁾	5	—	2	7
RORO/deck barges	—	7	—	7
Dry bulk articulated tug-barge	—	1	—	1
Harbor tugs	22	—	1	23
Ocean liquid tank barges	5	—	—	5
Foreign-flag:				
Harbor tugs	4	—	—	4
Very large gas carriers	—	—	—	—
Short Sea Container/RORO	7	—	—	7
	<u>43</u>	<u>8</u>	<u>3</u>	<u>54</u>

(1) As of September 30, 2013 and 2012, four were operating under long-term bareboat charters and three were operating under time charters.

Ethanol and Industrial Alcohol

	Three Months Ended September 30,				Nine Months Ended September 30,			
	2013		2012		2013		2012	
	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%	\$'000	%
Operating Revenues:								
United States	52,580	100	47,813	100	146,807	100	146,370	100
Costs and Expenses:								
Operating	52,390	100	45,472	95	145,837	99	140,078	96
Administrative and general	428	1	545	1	1,566	1	1,404	1
Depreciation and amortization	1,489	3	1,578	4	4,467	3	4,208	3
	54,307	104	47,595	100	151,870	103	145,690	100
Operating Income (Loss)	(1,727)	(4)	218	—	(5,063)	(3)	680	—
Other Income (Expense):								
Derivative gains (losses), net ⁽¹⁾	1,129	2	(1,035)	(2)	1,641	1	(1,756)	(1)
Equity in Earnings of 50% or Less Owned Companies, Net of Tax	—	—	—	—	—	—	6,154	4
Segment Profit (Loss)	(598)	(2)	(817)	(2)	(3,422)	(2)	5,078	3

(1) Alcohol Manufacturing routinely enters into exchange traded positions (primarily corn futures) to offset its net commodity market exposure on raw material and finished goods inventory balances. As of September 30, 2013, the net market exposure to corn under its contracts and its raw material and inventory balances was not material.

Segment Profit (Loss). Segment profit in the Prior Nine Months included a \$6.0 million gain, net of tax, resulting from the Company marking its investment in Illinois Corn Processing LLC ("ICP") to fair value upon acquiring a controlling interest on February 1, 2012.

Other Segment Profit

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Operating Revenues:				
Emergency and crisis services	62	9,267	187	27,921
Agricultural commodity trading and logistics	27,819	48,995	62,670	98,522
Other activities	—	74	—	894
	27,881	58,336	62,857	127,337
Segment Profit (Loss):				
Emergency and crisis services	689	(1,245)	2,023	(3,499)
Agricultural commodity trading and logistics	92	(442)	(840)	(265)
Other activities ⁽¹⁾	(894)	(1,441)	1,203	(2,710)
	(113)	(3,128)	2,386	(6,474)

(1) The components of segment profit do not include interest income, which is a significant component of the Company's lending and leasing activities.

Corporate and Eliminations

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Corporate Expenses	(7,677)	(9,402)	(27,071)	(26,984)
Eliminations	—	—	—	—
Operating Loss	(7,677)	(9,402)	(27,071)	(26,984)
Other Income (Expense):				
Derivative losses, net	(1,084)	(157)	(5,245)	(939)
Foreign currency losses, net	361	295	(200)	232
Other, net	(60)	(47)	(129)	14

Derivative losses, net. Derivative losses, net in the Current Nine Months were primarily due to losses from equity indices and forward currency exchange, option and future contracts. Derivative losses, net in the Prior Nine Months were primarily due to losses from equity indices and interest rate swap agreements offset by gains from equity options.

Other Income (Expense) not included in Segment Profit (Loss)

	Three Months Ended September 30,		Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012	2013	2012
	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000	\$'000
Interest income	4,280	3,890	10,665	13,925
Interest expense	(10,520)	(10,076)	(31,282)	(30,075)
Debt extinguishment losses, net	—	—	—	(160)
Marketable security gains (losses), net	(1,149)	(1,730)	9,403	13,224
	(7,389)	(7,916)	(11,214)	(3,086)

Interest Income. Interest income in the Current Three Months and Current Nine Months includes an interest prepayment and a prepayment penalty received following the early redemption of a note receivable in the Company's lending and leasing portfolio. Interest income in the Prior Nine Months includes a prepayment penalty received following the early redemption of a note receivable in the Company's lending and leasing portfolio and additional interest earned on notes receivable from the Company's 50% or less owned companies.

Interest Expense. Interest expense remained relatively flat in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months. The changes in interest expense reflects the issuance of the Company's 2.5% Convertible Notes on December 11, 2012, the maturity of the Company's 5.875% Senior Notes on October 1, 2012, reduced borrowings under the Company's revolving credit facility which was terminated August 9, 2013, lower other debt outstanding and higher capitalized interest.

Marketable Security Gains (Losses), net. Marketable security gains (losses), net in the Current Nine Months and Prior Nine Months were primarily attributable to gains on the Company's long marketable security positions.

Liquidity and Capital Resources

General

The Company's ongoing liquidity requirements arise primarily from working capital needs, capital commitments and its obligations to repay debt obligations. The Company may use its liquidity to fund acquisitions, repurchase shares of SEACOR common stock, par value \$0.01 per share ("Common Stock"), for treasury or to make other investments. Sources of liquidity are cash balances, marketable securities, construction reserve funds, Title XI reserve funds and cash flows from operations. From time to time, the Company may secure additional liquidity through asset sales or the issuance of debt, shares of Common Stock or common stock of its subsidiaries, preferred stock or a combination thereof.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company's unfunded capital commitments were \$366.5 million and included: 15 offshore support vessels for \$100.6 million; two inland river liquid tank barges for \$1.7 million; five inland river towboats for \$6.3 million; two U.S.-flag product tankers for \$250.5 million and other equipment and improvements for \$7.4 million. Of these commitments, \$25.0 million is payable during the remainder of 2013, \$300.5 million is payable during 2014-2015 and \$41.0 million is payable

[Table of Contents](#)

during 2016-2017. Subsequent to September 30, 2013, the Company committed to purchase two offshore support vessels and one inland river towboat for a total of \$39.2 million.

As of September 30, 2013, construction reserve funds of \$219.4 million were classified as non-current assets in the accompanying condensed consolidated balance sheets as the Company has the intent and ability to use the funds to acquire equipment.

SEACOR's Board of Directors previously approved a securities repurchase plan that authorizes the Company to acquire Common Stock, which may be acquired through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, depending on market conditions. As of September 30, 2013, the remaining authority under the repurchase plan was \$100.0 million.

SEACOR's Board of Directors has previously authorized the Company to purchase any or all of its 7.375% Senior Notes due 2019, which may be acquired through open market purchases, privately negotiated transactions or otherwise, depending on market conditions. During the nine months ended September 30, 2013, the Company did not repurchase any of its 7.375% Senior Notes due 2019.

On August 9, 2013, the Company voluntarily terminated the SEACOR revolving credit facility.

As of September 30, 2013, the Company had outstanding letters of credit totaling \$28.1 million with various expiration dates through 2016.

Summary of Cash Flows

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	\$'000	\$'000
Cash flows provided by or (used in):		
Operating Activities - Continuing Operations	134,698	98,633
Operating Activities - Discontinued Operations	24,298	99,629
Investing Activities - Continuing Operations	(55,534)	(325,365)
Investing Activities - Discontinued Operations	(8,502)	(7,219)
Financing Activities - Continuing Operations	4,120	12,367
Financing Activities - Discontinued Operations	(14,017)	(64,090)
Effect of Exchange Rate Changes on Cash and Cash Equivalents	(500)	2,631
Net Increase (Decrease) in Cash and Cash Equivalents	84,563	(183,414)

Operating Activities

Cash flows provided by operating activities decreased by \$39.3 million in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months. The components of cash flows provided by (used in) operating activities during the Current Nine Months and Prior Nine Months were as follows:

	Nine Months Ended September 30,	
	2013	2012
	\$'000	\$'000
Operating income from continuing operations before depreciation, amortization and gains on asset dispositions and impairments, net	137,019	128,159
Operating income from discontinued operations before depreciation, amortization and gains on asset dispositions and impairments, net	6,163	55,745
Changes in operating assets and liabilities before interest and income taxes	11,307	64,073
Purchases of marketable securities	(7,016)	(35,811)
Proceeds from sale of marketable securities	12,791	32,175
Cash settlements on derivative transactions, net	(5,554)	(14,813)
Dividends received from 50% or less owned companies	7,925	2,325
Interest paid, excluding capitalized interest	(17,722)	(40,975)
Income taxes paid, net of refunds	(1,045)	(20,746)
Other	15,128	28,130
Total cash flows provided by operating activities	158,996	198,262

Operating income from continuing operations before depreciation, amortization and gains on asset dispositions and impairments, net was \$8.9 million higher in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months. See "Consolidated Results of Operations" included above for a discussion of the results of each of the Company's business segments.

Operating income from discontinued operations before depreciation, amortization and gains on asset dispositions and impairments, net was \$49.6 million lower in the Current Nine Months compared with the Prior Nine Months as a result of the sale of the SES Business on March 16, 2012, the sale of SEI on December 31, 2012 and the Spin-off of Era Group on January 31, 2013.

Changes in operating assets and liabilities before interest and income taxes in the Current Nine Months of \$11.3 million was primarily due to the Spin-off of Era Group.

During the Current Nine Months, cash used in operating activities included \$7.0 million to purchase marketable security long positions. During the Current Nine Months, cash provided by operating activities included \$12.8 million received from the sale of marketable security long positions.

During the Prior Nine Months, cash used in operating activities included \$19.0 million to purchase marketable security long positions and \$16.8 million to cover marketable security short positions. During the Prior Nine Months, cash provided by operating activities included \$29.7 million received from the sale of marketable security long positions and \$2.5 million received upon entering into marketable security short positions.

Investing Activities

During the Current Nine Months, net cash used by investing activities of continuing operations was \$55.5 million primarily as follows:

- Capital expenditures were \$146.5 million. Equipment deliveries included two specialty offshore support vessels, two liftboats, three wind farm utility vessels, two inland river liquid tank barges and four U.S.-flag harbor tugs.
- The Company sold four crew boats, one mini-supply vessel, one supply vessel, three specialty offshore support vessels, five liftboats, 16 inland river dry cargo barges, eight inland river liquid tank barges, eight U.S.-flag harbor tugs and other property and equipment for net proceeds of \$214.7 million (\$205.7 million in cash, \$0.2 million in vendor credits and \$8.8 million in seller financing).
- Construction reserve funds account transactions included withdrawals of \$55.8 million and deposits of \$89.2 million.
- The Company released restricted cash of \$7.4 million.
- The Company made investments in its 50% or less owned companies of \$91.5 million, including \$23.0 million in Sea-Cat Crewzer II LLC, \$7.6 million in Mantenimiento Express Maritimo, S.A.P.I. de C.V. ("MexMar"), \$42.1 million to Dorian LPG Ltd. and \$9.4 million to SEACOR OSV Partners I LP.
- On June 6, 2013, the Company acquired a 100% controlling interest in C-Lift LLC through its acquisition of its partner's interest for \$12.7 million in cash subject to certain working capital adjustments.

During the Current Nine Months, net cash used in investing activities of discontinued operations of \$8.5 million was primarily due to Era Group's capital expenditures of \$8.7 million.

During the Prior Nine Months, net cash used in investing activities of continuing operations was \$325.4 million primarily as follows:

- Capital expenditures were \$165.0 million. Equipment deliveries included two offshore support vessels, one wind farm utility vessel, three inland river dry cargo barges, four inland river liquid tank barges and two inland river towboats.
- The Company sold four offshore support vessels, nine inland river dry cargo barges, two inland river towboats, one RORO vessel, two harbor tugs and other equipment for net proceeds of \$14.5 million and received \$0.2 million in deposits related to future expected sales. Total net proceeds of \$68.3 million on equipment sold included \$5.0 million in cash deposits previously received and \$48.5 million in seller financing.
- On September 28, 2012, the Company made an irrevocable deposit of \$171.0 million to its trustee for the extinguishment of the Company's 5.875% Senior Notes at their scheduled maturity on October 1, 2012. As of September 30, 2012, the irrevocable deposit held by the trustee was included in restricted cash.
- The Company received \$78.0 million from its 50% or less owned companies, including \$42.7 million of repayments on short-term notes from MexMar, \$20.8 million from SeaJon LLC as a capital distribution and \$15.7 million from Avion Pacific Limited ("Avion") as a repayment of advances.

Table of Contents

- The Company made investments in its 50% or less owned companies of \$34.5 million, including \$15.7 million of bridge financing to Trailer Bridge, Inc. and \$9.0 million in advances to Avion.
- Construction reserve funds account transactions included withdrawals of \$86.5 million and deposits of \$6.5 million.
- The Company received net principal payments on third party notes receivable of \$17.8 million.
- The Company acquired 18 lift boats, real property and working capital from Superior Energy Inc. for \$142.5 million.
- The Company obtained a 70% controlling interest in ICP through its acquisition of a portion of its partner's interest for \$9.1 million in cash.

During the Prior Nine Months, net cash used in investing activities of discontinued operations was \$7.2 million primarily as follows:

- The Company sold certain companies and assets that were part of its Environmental Services business segment for a net sales price of \$99.9 million. Net cash proceeds received were \$89.3 million.
- Era Group's investing activities included capital expenditures of \$91.9 million and \$4.8 million in proceeds from the sale of seven helicopters and other equipment.

Financing Activities

During the Current Nine Months, net cash provided by financing activities of continuing operations was \$4.1 million. The Company:

- made scheduled payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations of \$13.1 million;
- had net borrowings on inventory financing arrangements of \$4.2 million; and
- received \$17.2 million from share award plans.

During the Current Nine Months, net cash used in financing activities of discontinued operations of \$14.0 million was primarily due to Era Group's cash balance distributed in the Spin-off.

During the Prior Nine Months, net cash provided by financing activities of continuing operations was \$12.4 million. The Company:

- had borrowings of \$115.0 million under the revolving credit facility;
- repaid \$50.0 million under the revolving credit facility;
- purchased \$5.5 million, in principal amount, of its 5.875% Senior Notes due 2012 for an aggregate purchase price of \$5.7 million;
- made scheduled payments on long-term debt and capital lease obligations of \$7.6 million;
- repaid \$3.2 million of acquired debt;
- received proceeds of \$0.1 million and repaid \$0.7 million under working capital lines;
- had net borrowings on inventory financing arrangements of \$13.4 million;
- received \$8.4 million from share award plans; and
- acquired 330,134 shares of Common Stock for an aggregate purchase price of \$28.7 million.

During the Prior Nine Months, net cash used in financing activities of discontinued operations of \$64.1 million was primarily due to borrowings under Era Group's senior secured revolving credit facility.

Short and Long-Term Liquidity Requirements

To date, the Company's liquidity has not been materially impacted by the current credit environment and management does not expect that it will be materially impacted in the near future. The Company anticipates it will continue to generate positive cash flows from operations and that these cash flows will be adequate to meet the Company's working capital requirements. In support of the Company's capital expenditure program or other liquidity requirements, the Company may: use its cash balances; sell securities; utilize construction reserve funds; sell assets; enter into sale and leaseback transactions for equipment; issue debt, shares of Common Stock, common stock of its subsidiaries or preferred stock; or a combination thereof. On August 9, 2013, the Company voluntarily terminated the SEACOR revolving credit facility.

The Company's long-term liquidity is dependent upon its ability to generate operating profits sufficient to meet its requirements for working capital, capital expenditures and a reasonable return on shareholders' investment. The Company believes that earning such operating profits will permit it to maintain its access to favorably priced debt, equity or off-balance sheet financing arrangements. Management will continue to closely monitor the Company's liquidity and the credit and capital markets.

Off-Balance Sheet Arrangements

For a discussion of the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements, refer to Liquidity and Capital Resources contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There has been no material change in the Company's off-balance sheet arrangements during the Current Nine Months.

Contractual Obligations and Commercial Commitments

For a discussion of the Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments, refer to Liquidity and Capital Resources contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There has been no material change in the Company's contractual obligations and commercial commitments (excluding Aviation Services) during the Current Nine Months except for additional leases entered upon the sale and leaseback of eight inland river liquid tank barges and seven U.S.-flag harbor tugs. Operating lease expenses under these new leases will be \$1.4 million for the remainder of 2013, \$11.0 million during 2014-2015, \$16.4 million during 2016-2018 and \$20.1 million for years after 2018.

Contingencies

On July 14, 2010, a group of individuals and entities purporting to represent a class commenced a civil action in the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana, Terry G. Robin, et al. v. Seacor Marine, L.L.C., et al., No. 2:10-CV-01986 (E.D. La.) (the "Robin Case"), in which they asserted that support vessels, including vessels owned by the Company, responding to the explosion and resulting fire that occurred aboard the semi-submersible drilling rig, the *Deepwater Horizon*, were negligent in their efforts to save lives and put out the fire and contributed to the sinking of the *Deepwater Horizon* and subsequent oil spill. The action was part of the overall multi-district litigation, *In re Oil Spill by the Oil Rig "Deepwater Horizon", MDL No. 2179 ("MDL")*. The complaint sought compensatory, punitive, exemplary, and other damages. In response to this lawsuit, the Company filed petitions seeking exoneration from, or limitation of liability in relation to, any actions that may have been taken by vessels owned by the Company to extinguish the fire. On June 8, 2011, the Company moved to dismiss these claims (with the exception of one claim filed by a Company employee) on various legal grounds. On October 12, 2011, the Court granted the Company's motion to dismiss in its entirety, dismissing with prejudice all claims that had been filed against the Company in the limitation actions (with the exception of one claim filed by a Company employee that was not subject to the motion to dismiss). The Court entered final judgments in favor of the Company in the Robin Case and each of the limitation actions on November 21, 2011. On December 12, 2011, the claimants appealed each of those judgments to the U.S. Court of Appeals for the Fifth Circuit ("Fifth Circuit"). The claimants' opening brief was submitted on May 7, 2012, and the claimants filed a reply brief on June 1, 2012. Oral argument was not requested by the Fifth Circuit. On December 13, 2012, the Fifth Circuit affirmed the judgment of the district court. The claimants have not petitioned the United States Supreme Court for a writ of certiorari and their deadline to do so has expired.

With respect to the one claim filed by a Company employee, that individual also commenced a separate action in the MDL entitled *DuWayne Mason v. Seacor Marine, LLC*, No. 2:11-CV-00826 (E.D. La.), in which he alleges sustaining personal injuries not only in connection with responding to the explosion and fire, but also in the months thereafter in connection with the clean-up of oil and dispersants while a member of the crew of the *M/V Seacor Vanguard*. Although the case is subject to the MDL Court's stay of individual proceedings, on July 16, 2012 the employee sought to sever his case from the MDL. On March 5, 2013, the Court denied the motion, and on April 2, 2013, the employee filed a motion asking the Court to reconsider. The Company filed its response opposing the employee's motion on April 30, 2013, and on May 3, 2013, the Court denied the motion. On May 22, 2013, the employee filed a Notice of Appeal to the Fifth Circuit. On July 24, 2013, the Company filed a motion to dismiss for lack of appellate jurisdiction. The Fifth Circuit granted the Company's motion to dismiss on August 16, 2013.

On July 20, 2010, two individuals purporting to represent a class commenced a civil action in the Civil District Court for the Parish of Orleans in the State of Louisiana, John Wunstell, Jr. and Kelly Blanchard v. BP, et al., No. 2010-7437 (Division K) (the "Wunstell Action"), in which they assert, among other theories, that Mr. Wunstell suffered injuries as a result of his exposure to certain noxious fumes and chemicals in connection with the provision of remediation, containment and response services by O'Brien's Response Management Inc. ("ORM"), a subsidiary of the Company prior to the ORM Transaction (as defined in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012). The action now is part of the overall MDL. The complaint also seeks to establish a "class-wide court-supervised medical monitoring program" for all individuals "participating in BP's Deepwater Horizon Vessels of Opportunity Program and/or Horizon Response Program" who allegedly experienced injuries similar to those of Mr. Wunstell. The Company believes this lawsuit has no merit and will continue to vigorously defend the action and pursuant to contractual agreements with the responsible party, the responsible party has agreed, subject to certain potential limitations, to indemnify and defend ORM in connection with the Wunstell Action and claims asserted in the MDL, discussed further below. Although the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from this matter,

the Company does not expect it will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

On December 15, 2010, ORM and National Response Corporation ("NRC"), subsidiaries of the Company prior to the ORM Transaction and SES Business Transaction (as defined in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012), respectively, were named as defendants in one of the several consolidated "master complaints" that have been filed in the overall MDL. The master complaint naming ORM and NRC asserts various claims on behalf of a putative class against multiple defendants concerning the clean-up activities generally, and the use of dispersants specifically. By court order, the Wunstell Action has been stayed as a result of the filing of the referenced master complaint. The Company believes that the claims asserted against ORM and NRC in the master complaint have no merit and on February 28, 2011, ORM and NRC moved to dismiss all claims against them in the master complaint on legal grounds. On September 30, 2011, the Court granted in part and denied in part the motion to dismiss that ORM and NRC had filed (an amended decision was issued on October 4, 2011 that corrected several grammatical errors and non-substantive oversights in the original order). Although the Court refused to dismiss the referenced master complaint in its entirety at that time, the Court did recognize the validity of the "derivative immunity" and "implied preemption" arguments that ORM and NRC advanced and directed ORM and NRC to (i) conduct limited discovery to develop evidence to support those arguments and (ii) then re-assert the arguments. The Court did, however, dismiss all state-law claims and certain other claims that had been asserted in the referenced master complaint, and dismissed the claims of all plaintiffs that have failed to allege a legally-sufficient injury. A schedule for limited discovery and motion practice was established by the Court and, in accordance with that schedule, ORM and NRC filed for summary judgment re-asserting their derivative immunity and implied preemption arguments on May 18, 2012. Those motions were argued on July 13, 2012 and are still pending decision. In addition to the indemnity provided to ORM, pursuant to contractual agreements with the responsible party, the responsible party has agreed, subject to certain potential limitations, to indemnify and defend ORM and NRC in connection with these claims in the MDL. Although the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from this matter, the Company does not expect it will have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

Subsequent to the filing of the referenced master complaint, nine additional individual civil actions have been filed in or removed to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana concerning the clean-up activities generally, which name the Company, ORM and/or NRC as defendants or third-party defendants and are part of the overall MDL. On April 8, 2011, ORM was named as a defendant in Johnson Bros. Corporation of Louisiana v. BP, PLC, et al., No. 2:11-CV-00781 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual business seeking damages allegedly caused by a delay on a construction project alleged to have resulted from the clean-up operations. On April 15, 2011, ORM and NRC were named as defendants in James and Krista Pearson v. BP Exploration & Production, Inc. ("BP Exploration"), et al., No. 2:11-CV-00863 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by a husband and wife, who allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and are seeking damages for personal injury, property damage to their boat, and amounts allegedly due under contract. On April 15, 2011, ORM and NRC were named as defendants in Thomas Edward Black v. BP Exploration, et al., No. 2:11-CV-00867 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual who is seeking damages for lost income because he allegedly could not find work in the fishing industry after the oil spill. On April 20, 2011, a complaint was filed in Darnell Alexander, et al. v. BP, PLC, et al., No. 2:11-CV-00951 (E.D. La.) on behalf of 117 individual plaintiffs that seek to adopt the allegations made in the referenced master complaint against ORM and NRC (and the other defendants). Plaintiffs in this matter have since been granted leave to amend their complaint to include 410 additional individual plaintiffs. On October 3, 2012, ORM and NRC were served with a Rule 14(c) Third-Party Complaint by Jambon Supplier II, L.L.C. and Jambon Marine Holdings L.L.C. in their Limitation of Liability action, In the Matter of Jambon Supplier II, L.L.C., et al., No. 2:12-CV-00426 (E.D. La.). This Third-Party Complaint alleges that if claimant David Dinwiddie, who served as a clean-up crewmember aboard the M/V JAMBON SUPPLIER II vessel during the clean-up efforts, was injured as a result of his exposure to dispersants and chemicals during the course and scope of his employment, then said injuries were caused by the third-party defendants. On November 25, 2012, ORM was named as a defendant in Victoria Sanchez v. American Pollution Control Corp. et al., No. 2:12-CV-00164 (E.D. La.), a maritime suit filed by an individual who allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and sustained personal injuries during the course of such employment. On December 17, 2012, the Court unsealed a False Claims Act lawsuit naming ORM as a defendant, Dillon v. BP, PLC et al., No. 2:12-CV-00987 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by an individual seeking damages and penalties arising from alleged false reports and claims made to the federal government with respect to the amount of oil burned and dispersed during the clean-up. The federal government has declined to intervene in this suit. On April 8, 2013, the Company, ORM, and NRC were named as defendants in William and Dianna Fitzgerald v. BP Exploration et al., No. 2:13-CV-00650 (E.D. La.), which is a suit by a husband and wife whose son allegedly participated in the clean-up effort and became ill as a result of his exposure to oil and dispersants. Finally, on April 17, 2013, ORM was named as a defendant in Danos et al. v. BP America Production Co. et al., No. 2:13-CV-03747 (removed to E.D. La.), which is a suit by eight individuals seeking damages for dispersant exposure either as a result of their work during clean-up operations or as a result of their residence in the Gulf. By court order, all nine of these additional individual cases have been stayed until further notice. The Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these matters but believes they are without merit and does not expect that they will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

[Table of Contents](#)

On February 18, 2011, Triton Asset Leasing GmbH, Transocean Holdings LLC, Transocean Offshore Deepwater Drilling Inc., and Transocean Deepwater Inc. (collectively "Transocean") named ORM and NRC as third-party defendants in a Rule 14(c) Third-Party Complaint in Transocean's own Limitation of Liability Act action, which is part of the overall MDL, tendering to ORM and NRC the claims in the referenced master complaint that have already been asserted against ORM and NRC. Transocean, Cameron International Corporation, Halliburton Energy Services, Inc., and M-I L.L.C. also filed cross-claims against ORM and NRC for contribution and tort indemnity should they be found liable for any damages in Transocean's Limitation of Liability Act action and ORM and NRC have asserted counterclaims against those same parties for identical relief. Weatherford U.S., L.P. and Weatherford International, Inc. (collectively "Weatherford") had also filed cross-claims against ORM and NRC, but moved to voluntarily dismiss these cross-claims without prejudice on February 8, 2013. The Court granted Weatherford's motion that same day. As indicated above, the Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these actions but believes they are without merit and does not expect that these matters will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

On November 16, 2012, 668 individuals who served as beach clean-up workers in Escambia County, Florida during the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill response commenced a civil action in the Circuit Court for the First Judicial Circuit of Florida, in and for Escambia County, Abney et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al., No. 2012-CA-002947, in which they allege, among other things, that ORM and other defendants engaged in the contamination of Florida waters and beaches in violation of Florida Statutes Chapter 376 and injured the plaintiffs by exposing them to dispersants during the course and scope of their employment. The case was removed to the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida on January 13, 2013, Abney et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al., No. 3:13-CV-00024 (N.D. Fla.), and on January 16, 2013, the United States Judicial Panel on Multidistrict Litigation ("JPML") issued a Conditional Transfer Order ("CTO") transferring the case to the MDL, subject to any timely-filed notice of objection from the plaintiffs. Upon receipt of a notice of objection from the plaintiffs, a briefing schedule was set by the JPML, and so a stay of proceedings and suspension of deadlines was sought and obtained by the Court in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida. Following briefing before the JPML, the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and consolidated with the MDL on April 2, 2013. On April 22, 2013, a companion case to this matter was filed in the U.S. District Court for the Northern District of Florida, Abood et al. v. Plant Performance Services, LLC et al., No. 3:13-CV-00284 (N.D. Fla.), which alleges identical allegations against the same parties but names an additional 174 plaintiffs, all of whom served as clean-up workers in various Florida counties during the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill response. A CTO was issued by the JPML on May 2, 2013, no objection was filed by the plaintiffs, and the case was transferred to the U.S. District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and consolidated with the MDL on May 10, 2013. By court order, both of these matters have been stayed until further notice. The Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from these matters but believes they are without merit and does not expect that these matters will have a material effect on its consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

Separately, on March 2, 2012, the Court announced that BP Exploration and BP America Production Company ("BP America") (collectively "BP") and the plaintiffs had reached an agreement on the terms of two proposed class action settlements that will resolve, among other things, plaintiffs' economic loss claims and clean-up related claims against BP. The parties filed their proposed settlement agreements on April 18, 2012 along with motions seeking preliminary approval of the settlements. The Court held a hearing on April 25, 2012 to consider those motions and preliminarily approved both settlements on May 2, 2012. A final fairness hearing took place on November 8, 2012. The Court granted final approval to the Economic and Property Damages Class Action Settlement on December 21, 2012, and granted final approval to the Medical Benefits Class Action Settlement on January 11, 2013. Both class action settlements are currently on appeal to the Fifth Circuit. Although neither the Company, ORM, or NRC are parties to the settlement agreements, the Company, ORM, and NRC are listed as released parties on the releases accompanying both settlement agreements. As the releases for both settlements have been deemed valid and enforceable by the district court, if the Fifth Circuit affirms these decisions, class members who did not file timely requests for exclusion will be barred from pursuing economic loss, property damage, personal injury, medical monitoring, and/or other released claims against the Company, ORM, and NRC. At this time, the Company expects these settlements to reduce ORM's potential exposure, if any, from some of the pending actions described above, and continues to evaluate the settlements' impacts on these cases.

On January 29, 2013, HEPACO, LLC ("HEPACO"), served a demand for arbitration upon ORM, in which HEPACO claims that ORM owes HEPACO an additional fee of \$20,291,178.92 under the parties' Management Services Agreement ("MSA"), dated June 1, 2010. According to HEPACO, the MSA requires ORM to pay HEPACO an additional fee of 30% of total charges paid under the MSA ("Surcharge") to compensate HEPACO for U.S. Longshoremen's and Harbor Workers' insurance or Jones Act insurance and related risks attendant to the work when contract requires labor to be performed over, adjoining and/or in water. ORM denies liability for the Surcharge, intends to vigorously defend against the claim, and has sought indemnity for any resulting judgment and related attorneys fees from BP America and BP Exploration. ORM has advised BP that, pursuant to the Bridge Agreement HOU-WL4-3066 between BP and ORM, effective as of June 1, 2010, under which ORM managed and oversaw, for BP, subcontractors, such as HEPACO, in connection with on-shore services related to the BP *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill, BP ultimately is responsible for the payment of the Surcharge should HEPACO be determined to be entitled to recover it under the MSA.

ORM is defending against three collective action lawsuits, each asserting failure to pay overtime with respect to individuals who provided service on the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill response (the “DPH FLSA Actions”) under the Fair Labor Standards Act (“FLSA”). These cases - *Dennis Prejean v. O'Brien's Response Management Inc.* (E.D. La., Case No.: 2:12-cv-01045) (the “Prejean Action”); *Baylor Singleton et. al. v. O'Brien's Response Management Inc. et. al.* (E.D. La., Case No.: 2:12-cv-01716) (the “Singleton Action”); and *Himmerite et al. v. O'Brien's Response Management Inc. et al.* (E.D. La., Case No.: 2:12-cv-01533) (the “Himmerite Action”) - were each brought on behalf of certain individuals who worked on the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill response and who were classified as independent contractors. The Prejean, Himmerite and Singleton Actions were each filed in the United States District Court for the Eastern District of Louisiana and then subsequently consolidated with the overall MDL, in which the Himmerite and Singleton Actions were stayed pursuant to procedures of the MDL. However, all three cases were severed from the MDL on September 19, 2013, and referred to a Magistrate Judge for pretrial case management, including issuing a scheduling order, overseeing discovery, and any other preliminary matters. The Himmerite and Singleton Actions are still pre-answer. In the Prejean Action, ORM has answered the complaint, a scheduling order has been issued, and plaintiffs have, among other things, filed a Motion for Conditional Certification, which is fully briefed and submitted. The pending Motion for Conditional Certification was referred by order dated September 19, 2013 to the Magistrate Judge for his report and recommendation. The limitations periods for potential plaintiffs to opt-in to the Prejean, Himmerite and Singleton Actions have all been tolled pending further action by the Court. The Company is unable to estimate the potential exposure, if any, resulting from any of these DWH FLSA Actions, but believes they are without merit and will continue to vigorously defend against them.

In the course of the Company's business, it may agree to indemnify the counterparty to an agreement. If the indemnified party makes a successful claim for indemnification, the Company would be required to reimburse that party in accordance with the terms of the indemnification agreement. Indemnification agreements generally are subject to threshold amounts, specified claim periods and other restrictions and limitations.

In connection with the SES Business Transaction and the ORM Transaction, the Company remains contingently liable for certain indemnification obligations, including potential liabilities relating to work performed in connection with the *Deepwater Horizon* oil spill response. In the case of the SES Business Transaction, such potential liabilities may not exceed the purchase consideration received by the Company for the SES Business Transaction and in the case of the ORM Transaction, are subject to a negotiated cap. The Company currently is indemnified under contractual agreements with BP with respect to such potential liabilities.

In the normal course of its business, the Company becomes involved in various other litigation matters including, among other things, claims by third parties for alleged property damages and personal injuries. Management has used estimates in determining the Company's potential exposure to these matters and has recorded reserves in its financial statements related thereto where appropriate. It is possible that a change in the Company's estimates of that exposure could occur, but the Company does not expect such changes in estimated costs would have a material effect on the Company's consolidated financial position or its results of operations.

ITEM 3. QUANTITATIVE AND QUALITATIVE DISCLOSURES ABOUT MARKET RISK

For a discussion of the Company's exposure to market risk, refer to Item 7A, Quantitative and Qualitative Disclosures About Market Risk, contained in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the year ended December 31, 2012. There has been no significant change in the Company's exposure to market risk during the Current Nine Months except for reduced exposure upon the Spin-off of Era Group that included purchase commitments denominated in euros and variable LIBOR-based borrowings under Era Group's Senior Secured Revolving Credit Facility.

ITEM 4. CONTROLS AND PROCEDURES

Restatement of Previously Issued Interim Financial Statements

In late February 2014, an error was discovered in the accounting for taxes related to the Spin-off of Era Group, which occurred on January 31, 2013. The Company's management, together with the Audit Committee of the Company's Board of Directors, concluded that the Company's previously issued condensed consolidated financial statements as of and for the nine months ended September 30, 2013, included in the Original Filing, should no longer be relied upon solely as a result of this error.

Evaluation of Disclosure Controls and Procedures (As Restated)

With the participation of the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, management evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e) under the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended (the “Exchange Act”) as of September 30, 2013. The Company's disclosure controls and procedures have been designed to ensure that the Company records, processes, accumulates and communicates information to management, including the Company's principal executive officer and principal financial officer, to allow timely decisions regarding required disclosures and submission within the time periods specified in the Security and Exchange Commission's

("SEC") rules and forms. All internal control systems, no matter how well designed, have inherent limitations. Therefore, even those determined to be effective can provide only a level of reasonable assurance with respect to financial statement preparation and presentation.

Based on their evaluation at the time of the Original Filing, the principal executive officer and principal financial officer concluded that the Company's disclosure controls and procedures were effective as of September 30, 2013. Subsequent to that evaluation and in light of the Company's determination to restate its condensed consolidated financial statements included in the Original Filing, management, including the principal executive officer and principal financial officer, has re-evaluated the effectiveness of the Company's disclosure controls and procedures as of September 30, 2013 and concluded that its disclosure controls and procedures were not effective as of that date based on the identified material weakness described below. A material weakness is a deficiency, or a combination of deficiencies, in internal control over financial reporting, such that there is a reasonable possibility that a material misstatement of the annual or interim financial statements will not be prevented or detected on a timely basis.

With respect to the Company's controls over the application and monitoring of the accounting for income taxes, the Company did not have controls designed and in place to provide effective oversight of the work performed by, and the accuracy of financial information provided by, third-party tax advisors for significant non-routine business transactions. This control deficiency, which was discovered in late February 2014, resulted in a misstatement of the provision of income taxes within the condensed consolidated financial statements in the Company's Quarterly Reports on Form 10-Q for the fiscal quarters ended March 31, June 30 and September 30, 2013. This control deficiency could result in further misstatement on future non-routine business transactions that would result in material misstatement to the annual or interim consolidated financial statements that would not be prevented or detected. Accordingly, management has concluded that this control deficiency constitutes a material weakness.

Plan of Remediation of Material Weakness

Management is taking steps to remediate the material weakness, including the development of enhanced policies and procedures governing oversight and evaluation of the accounting for income taxes over significant non-routine business transactions. Management believes the additional control procedures, when implemented and validated, will fully remediate this material weakness.

Changes in Internal Control Over Financial Reporting

There have been no changes in the Company's internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f) under the Exchange Act) that occurred during the three months ended September 30, 2013 that have materially affected, or are reasonably likely to materially affect, the Company's internal control over financial reporting.

PART II—OTHER INFORMATION**ITEM 1. LEGAL PROCEEDINGS**

For a description of developments with respect to pending legal proceedings described in the Company's Annual Report on Form 10-K for the fiscal year ended December 31, 2012, see Part I, Item 2, "Management's Discussion and Analysis of Financial Condition and Results of Operations-Contingencies".

ITEM 1A. RISK FACTORS

The forward-looking statements in this Form 10-Q should be evaluated together with the many uncertainties that affect the Company's businesses, particularly those mentioned under "Forward-Looking Statements" in Item 7 on the Company's 2012 Annual Report on Form 10-K, "Risk Factors" in Item 1A on the Company's 2012 Annual report of Form 10-K and SEACOR's periodic reporting on Form 8-K (if any), which are incorporated herein by reference.

The Company's business and stock price may be adversely affected if its internal control over financial reporting is not effective. Under Section 404 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002 and rules promulgated by the SEC, companies are required to conduct a comprehensive evaluation of their internal control over financial reporting. As part of this process, the Company is required to document and test its internal control over financial reporting; management is required to assess and issue a report concerning the Company's internal control over financial reporting; and the Company's independent registered public accounting firm is required to attest on the effectiveness of the Company's internal control over financial reporting. The Company's internal control over financial reporting may not prevent or detect misstatements because of its inherent limitations, including the possibility of human error, the circumvention or overriding of controls, or fraud. Even effective internal controls can provide only reasonable assurance with respect to the preparation and fair presentation of financial statements. In connection with the restatement of the unaudited condensed consolidated financial statements, management re-assessed the Company's internal control over financial reporting as of September 30, 2013 and identified a material weakness existed at that time related to the application and monitoring of the accounting for income taxes as it did not have controls in place to provide effective oversight of work performed by, and the accuracy of financial information provided by third-party tax advisors for significant transactions. As described in "Item 4 Controls and Procedures" the Company is taking steps to remediate the material weakness. The existence of a material weakness could result in errors in the Company's financial statements that could result in a restatement of financial statements, which could cause the Company to fail to meet its reporting obligations, lead to a loss of investor confidence and have a negative impact on the trading price of the Company's common stock.

ITEM 2. UNREGISTERED SALES OF EQUITY SECURITIES AND USE OF PROCEEDS

(c) This table provides information with respect to purchases by the Company of shares of its Common Stock during the Current Year Quarter:

<u>Period</u>	<u>Total Number Of Shares Purchased</u>	<u>Average Price Paid Per Share</u>	<u>Total Number of Shares Purchased as Part of Publicly Announced Plans or Programs</u>	<u>Maximum Value of Shares that may Yet be Purchased under the Plans or Programs⁽¹⁾</u>
July 1 – 31, 2013	—	\$ —	—	\$ 100,000,000
August 1 – 31, 2013	—	\$ —	—	\$ 100,000,000
September 1 – 30, 2013	—	\$ —	—	\$ 100,000,000

(1) Since February 1997, SEACOR's Board of Directors authorized the repurchase of Common Stock, certain debt or a combination thereof. From time to time thereafter, and most recently on February 26, 2013, SEACOR's Board of Directors increased the authority to repurchase Common Stock.

ITEM 4. MINE SAFETY DISCLOSURES

Not applicable.

ITEM 6. EXHIBITS

- 10.1 Contract for Construction of Two Vessels for Seabulk Tankers, Inc. by National Steel and Shipbuilding Company dated September 10, 2013 (filed in redacted form pursuant to a request for confidential treatment for certain provisions thereof pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; these provisions have been submitted separately to the Securities and Exchange Commission).
- 31.1 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended.
- 31.2 Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended.
- 32.1 Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 32.2 Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
- 101.INS** XBRL Instance Document
- 101.SCH** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
- 101.CAL** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
- 101.DEF** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
- 101.LAB** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
- 101.PRE** XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned thereunto duly authorized.

DATE: March 7, 2014

SEACOR Holdings Inc. (Registrant)

By: /S/ CHARLES FABRIKANT
Charles Fabrikant, *Executive Chairman of the Board*
(*Principal Executive Officer*)

DATE: March 7, 2014

By: /S/ RICHARD RYAN
Richard Ryan, *Senior Vice President*
and Chief Financial Officer
(*Principal Financial Officer*)

EXHIBIT INDEX

10.1	Contract for Construction of Two Vessels for Seabulk Tankers, Inc. by National Steel and Shipbuilding Company dated September 10, 2013 (filed in redacted form pursuant to a request for confidential treatment for certain provisions thereof pursuant to Rule 24b-2 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; these provisions have been submitted separately to the Securities and Exchange Commission).
31.1	Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended.
31.2	Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to Rule 13a-14(a) and Rule 15d-14(a) of the Securities Exchange Act, as amended.
32.1	Certification by the Principal Executive Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
32.2	Certification by the Principal Financial Officer pursuant to 18 U.S.C. Section 1350, as adopted pursuant to Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002.
101.INS**	XBRL Instance Document
101.SCH**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Schema
101.CAL**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Calculation Linkbase
101.DEF**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Definition Linkbase
101.LAB**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Label Linkbase
101.PRE**	XBRL Taxonomy Extension Presentation Linkbase

** Pursuant to Rule 406T of Regulation S-T, these interactive data files are deemed not filed or part of a registration statement or prospectus for purposes of Sections 11 or 12 of the Securities Act of 1933 or Section 18 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 and otherwise are not subject to liability.

CERTIFICATION

I, Charles Fabrikant, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A Amendment No. 1 of SEACOR Holdings Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 7, 2014

/s/ CHARLES FABRIKANT

Name: Charles Fabrikant

Title: *Executive Chairman of the Board*
(Principal Executive Officer)

CERTIFICATION

I, Richard Ryan, certify that:

1. I have reviewed this quarterly report on Form 10-Q/A Amendment No. 1 of SEACOR Holdings Inc.;
2. Based on my knowledge, this report does not contain any untrue statement of a material fact or omit to state a material fact necessary to make the statements made, in light of the circumstances under which such statements were made, not misleading with respect to the period covered by this report;
3. Based on my knowledge, the financial statements and other financial information included in this report, fairly present in all material respects the financial condition, results of operations and cash flows of the registrant as of, and for, the periods presented in this report;
4. The registrant's other certifying officer and I are responsible for establishing and maintaining disclosure controls and procedures (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(e) and 15d-15(e)) and internal control over financial reporting (as defined in Exchange Act Rules 13a-15(f) and 15d-15(f)) for the registrant and have:
 - a) Designed such disclosure controls and procedures, or caused such disclosure controls and procedures to be designed under our supervision, to ensure that material information relating to the registrant, including its consolidated subsidiaries, is made known to us by others within those entities, particularly during the period in which this report is being prepared;
 - b) Designed such internal control over financial reporting, or caused such internal control over financial reporting to be designed under our supervision, to provide reasonable assurance regarding the reliability of financial reporting and the preparation of financial statements for external purposes in accordance with generally accepted accounting principles;
 - c) Evaluated the effectiveness of the registrant's disclosure controls and procedures and presented in this report our conclusions about the effectiveness of the disclosure controls and procedures as of the end of the period covered by this report based on such evaluation; and
 - d) Disclosed in this report any change in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting that occurred during the registrant's most recent fiscal quarter (the registrant's fourth fiscal quarter in the case of an annual report) that has materially affected, or is reasonably likely to materially affect, the registrant's internal control over financial reporting; and
5. The registrant's other certifying officer and I have disclosed, based on our most recent evaluation of internal control over financial reporting, to the registrant's auditors and the audit committee of the registrant's board of directors (or persons performing the equivalent functions):
 - a) All significant deficiencies and material weaknesses in the design or operation of internal control over financial reporting which are reasonably likely to adversely affect the registrant's ability to record, process, summarize and report financial information; and
 - b) Any fraud, whether or not material, that involves management or other employees who have a significant role in the registrant's internal control over financial reporting.

Date: March 7, 2014

/s/ RICHARD RYAN

Name: Richard Ryan

Title: *Senior Vice President and
Chief Financial Officer
(Principal Financial Officer)*

**CERTIFICATION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED BY SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Charles Fabrikant, as Principal Executive Officer of SEACOR Holdings Inc. (the “*Company*”), certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

(1) the accompanying Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A Amendment No. 1 for the period ending September 30, 2013 as filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “*Report*”) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: March 7, 2014

/s/ CHARLES FABRIKANT

Charles Fabrikant

Executive Chairman of the Board

(Principal Executive Officer)

**CERTIFICATION
PURSUANT TO 18 U.S.C. SECTION 1350,
AS ADOPTED BY SECTION 906 OF THE SARBANES-OXLEY ACT OF 2002**

I, Richard Ryan, as Principal Financial Officer of SEACOR Holdings Inc. (the “*Company*”), certify, pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 1350, as adopted by Section 906 of the Sarbanes-Oxley Act of 2002, that to my knowledge:

(1) the accompanying Quarterly Report on Form 10-Q/A Amendment No. 1 for the period ending September 30, 2013 as filed with the U.S. Securities and Exchange Commission (the “*Report*”) fully complies with the requirements of Section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, as amended; and

(2) the information contained in the Report fairly presents, in all material respects, the financial condition and results of operations of the Company.

Dated: March 7, 2014

/s/ RICHARD RYAN

Richard Ryan

Senior Vice President and

Chief Financial Officer

(Principal Financial Officer)